FACTORS INFLUENCING STUDENT BEHAVIORAL INTENTION OF SHORT VIDEO APP: CASE STUDY OF CHONGQING VOCATIONAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION IN DIGITAL ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT INNOVATION (INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM) MAEJO UNIVERSITY 2023 FACTORS INFLUENCING STUDENT BEHAVIORAL INTENTION OF SHORT VIDEO APP: CASE STUDY OF CHONGQING VOCATIONAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



A INDEPENDENT STUDY SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION IN DIGITAL ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT INNOVATION (INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM) ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEVELOPMENT MAEJO UNIVERSITY 2023

Copyright of Maejo University

## FACTORS INFLUENCING STUDENT BEHAVIORAL INTENTION OF SHORT VIDEO APP: CASE STUDY OF CHONGQING VOCATIONAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

#### LULU LIU

THIS INDEPENDENT STUDY HAS BEEN APPROVED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION IN DIGITAL ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT INNOVATION (INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM)

APPROVED BY	Advisory Committee
Chair	
	(Assistant Professor Dr. Kittinoot Chulikavit)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Committee	<u> </u>
	(Assistant Professor Dr. Arunee Yodbutr)
Committee	
	(Assistant Professor Dr. Ratchaneeya Bangmek)
Program Chair, Master of Business Administration	
in Digital Economics and Management Innovation	(Associate Professor Dr. Siriporn Kiratikarnkul)
(International Program)	
CERTIFIED BY THE OFFICE OF	
ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATION	(Associate Professor Dr. Yanin Opatpatanakit)
AND DEVELOPMENT	Vice President

ชื่อเรื่อง	ปัจจัยที่มีอิทธิพลต่อความตั้งใจเชิงพฤติกรรมของนักศึกษา		
	ต่อแอพวีดีโอสั้น: กรณีศึกษาวิทยาลัยวิทยาศาสตร์		
	และเทคโนโลยีการอาชีวศึกษาฉงซิ่ง		
ชื่อผู้เขียน	Mrs. Lulu Liu		
ชื่อปริญญา	บริหารธุรกิจมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาเศรษฐศาสตร์ดิจิทัลและนวัตกรรมการ		
	จัดการ (หลักสูตรนานาชาติ)		
อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาหลัก	ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ ดร.กิตตินุช  ชุลิกาวิทย์		

# บทคัดย่อ

ด้วยความนิยมของเทอร์มินัลมือถืออัจฉริยะและการพัฒนาเทคโนโลยี 5G อุตสาหกรรม วิดีโอสั้นของจีนได้เข้าสู่ขั้นตอนการพัฒนาเต็มที่ ด้วยแรงผลักดันจากทั้งความสนใจเชิงพาณิชย์และ คุณค่าทางสังคม แอพวิดีโอสั้นโซเซียลจึงได้รับความสนใจอย่างกว้างขวาง เนื่องจากนักศึกษาเป็นผู้ใช้ หลักของแอพวิดีโอสั้นโซเซียล การศึกษานี้จึงอิงตามมุมมองเชิงทฤษฎีของ Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology2 (UTAUT2) เพื่อตรวจสอบปัจจัยที่ส่งผลต่อความตั้งใจเชิง พฤติกรรมของนักเรียนที่มีต่อแอพวิดีโอสั้น โดยนำนักเรียนบางคนใช้ แอพวิดีโอสั้นโซเซียลในสถาบัน วิทยาศาสตร์และเทคโนโลยีฉงซิ่งเป็นผู้ตอบ ความคาดหวังในการปฏิบัติงาน แรงจูงใจด้านจิตใจ มูลค่า ราคา และนิสัยมีอิทธิพลเซิงบวกต่อความตั้งใจในพฤติกรรม นอกจากนี้ การยอมรับและการใช้แอปวิดี โอสั้นสามารถโต้ตอบกับความตั้งใจเชิงพฤติกรรมของนักเรียนที่ตรวจสอบตามเพศได้ จากผล การศึกษาได้มีการอภิปรายและเสนอแนะ นอกจากนี้ยังกล่าวถึงข้อจำกัดของการศึกษาและทิศทางใน อนาคต

คำสำคัญ : UTAUT2, แอพวิดีโอสั้น, การนำเทคโนโลยีมาใช้

Title	FACTORS INFLUENCING STUDENT BEHAVIORAL
	INTENTION OF SHORT VIDEO APP: CASE STUDY
	OF CHONGQING VOCATIONAL COLLEGE OF
	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Author	Mrs. Lulu Liu
Degree	Master of Business Administration in Digital
	Economics and Management Innovation
	(International Program)
Advisory Committee Chairperson	Assistant Professor Dr. Kittinoot Chulikavit

#### ABSTRACT

With the popularization of intelligent mobile terminals and the development of 5G technology, China's short video industry has entered a mature stage of development. Driven by both commercial interest and social value, social short video APPs have received wide attention. Since college students are the main users of social short video APP, this study is based on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology2 (UTAUT2) theoretical perspective to investigate the factors affecting students' behavioral intention towards short video APP by taking some students using social short video APP in Chongqing Institute of Science and Technology as the respondents. Performance Expectancy, Hedonic Motivation, Price Value, and Habit have a positive influence on behavioral intention. Also, the acceptance and use of short video app can interact with students' behavioral intentions moderated by gender. Based on the results of the study, discussions and recommendations were made. In addition, the limitations of the study and future directions are discussed.

Keywords : UTAUT2, short video APP, technology adoption

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to sincerely thank my research project advisor, Associate Professor Dr. Kittinoot Chulikavit, for her kind help and guidance. She has taught me a lot in the past few months and has benefited me a lot. Since I was alone overseas, she was very concerned about whether I was adjusting to my new living environment and adapting to my new life, which brought a lot of warmth and encouragement to my life.

I would also like to thank my committee members Assistant Professor Dr. Arunee Yodbutr and Assistant Professor Dr. Ratchaneeya Bangmek for taking the time to review my research project and providing me with very constructive comments. I would also like to express my gratitude to the rest of the staff at MUIM who sent me the course schedule on time and patiently answered my questions.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and family who have been watching my back and giving me moral support. I would like to thank my parents and family who have been watching my back and giving me moral encouragement and material support.

Lulu Liu

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (THAI)	C
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	D
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	E
TABLE OF CONTENTS	F
List of Tables	Н
List of Figures	J
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
Background	
Research of Problem	4
Objectives of the study	
Expected Results	
Scope of the study	5
Definition of term	5
Theories Related to the study	7
Related Research	
Conceptual Framework	
Research Hypotheses	
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	21
Population and Sampling	21
Measurement of Variables	22
Research Instrument	24

Pretesting of the Instrument	25
Data Gathering	
Analysis of Data	
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	
Descriptive Statistics	
Hypothesis Testing	
Analysis of Open-end Question	
CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	
Summary	
Limitations of the Study	
Directions for Future Research	<u></u>
REFERENCES	
CURRICULUM VITAE	

## List of Tables

Pag	ge
Table 1 Related models of user technology acceptance behavior theory         1	10
Table 2 Specific meanings of statistical indicators	15
Table 3 Questionnaire recovery	22
Table 4 Variable measurement items and reference sources	23
Table 5 Reliability analysis results of the questionnaire	26
Table 6 Results of KMO and Bartlett's Test	27
Table 7 Factor analysis results of the questionnaire	28
Table 8 Demographic Characteristics of the sample (N=428)	33
Table 9 Description Statistics of Variable on the usage of short video APP	34
Table 10 Summary of the average and level of all factors	37
Table 11 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of	
Performance Expectancy (PE)	38
Table 12 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Effort	
Expectancy (EE)	39
Table 13 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Social	
Influence (SI) of factors influencing student behavioral intention of short Video APP 4	10
Table 14 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Facilitating	3
Conditions (FC)	11
Table 15 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Hedonic	
Motivation (HM)	12
Table 16 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Price value	e
(PV) of factors influencing student behavioral intention of short Video APP	13

Table	17 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Habit (HB)
Table	18 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Behavioral
Intenti	on (BI)
Table	19 Correlation Analysis Results
Table	20 Results of H1 regression
Table	21 Results of H1.1-H1.7 regression analysis
Table	22 Results of H2 regression analysis
Table	23 Results of H2.1 regression analysis
Table	24 Results of H2.2 regression analysis
Table	25 Results of H2.3 regression analysis
Table	26 Results of H2.4 regression analysis
Table	27 Results of H2.5regression analysis
Table	28 Results of H2.6 regression analysis
Table	29 Results of H2.7 regression analysis
Table	30 Statistical results of recommendations

#### I

## List of Figures

Figure	1 Monthly active users of the short video APP industry in 2021	1
Figure	2 Schematic diagram of a theoretical model of rational behavior	8
Figure	3 Schematic diagram of the theory of planned behavior	8

Figure	3 Schematic diagram of the theory of planned behavior	8
Figure	4 Technology Acceptance Model Diagram	9
Figure	5 UTAUT model	. 12
Figure	6 UTAUT2 model	. 13
Figure	7 Conceptual Framework	19



Page

### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

#### Background

In recent years, with the rapid development of 5G networks, China has entered the era of mobile Internet. In the era of mobile Internet, people use mobile devices such as mobile phones and tablets to browse, upload, and exchange information. The mobile Internet has become an important channel for information exchange in the new era. In the process of information dissemination, the mobile Internet has given birth to the short video era, and the short video industry has become another outlet for the development of the mobile Internet.

The 48<sup>th</sup> Report (Statistical Report on Internet Development in China, 2021) pointed out that by 2021, the number of Internet users in China will reach 1.011 billion, the number of mobile Internet users will reach 1.007 billion, and the number of short video users will reach 888 million. Mobile short video is a short video that is suitable for watching in a leisure state, using mobile phones and other mobile. It has a wide range of content, high originality, and outstanding personality. It is a free and flexible mobile video as new media. With the popularity of mobile devices and the improvement of Internet speed, the short video industry has won the favor of major platforms, fans, and capital. Weibo, Miaopai, Toutiao, Kuaishou, and Tiktok have entered the short video industry one after another, making the short video industry show explosive growth in the past two years, and the market scale has expanded rapidly. At the same time, the user scale has also grown explosively. According to QUEST MOBILE's "China Mobile Internet 2021 Semi-annual Report", the data from QUEST MOBILE (https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1706432788175934972&wfr=spider & for=pc). Show that in Figure 1:



**Figure 1** Monthly active users of the short video APP industry in 2021 Source: QuestMobile TRUTH China Mobile Internet Databas

In terms of specific APP applications, Tiktok, which ranks first in short videos, has reached 644 million monthly active users in June, and Kuaishou, which ranks second, has reached 417 million monthly active users in June. Sitting on hundreds of millions of users and a huge traffic entrance, the short video application has unique product advantages and great commercial development value. However, by reading relevant materials, it has been found that after a year of brutal growth of short videos, some problems have gradually been exposed. On the one hand, because the short video industry is an emerging industry, the lack of supervision and the low barriers to entry have led to the widespread circulation of some relatively vulgar videos, seriously damaging the outlook on life and values of young people participating in it. Of course, this problem will gradually improve with the joint supervision of relevant departments and short video platforms. On the other hand, the short video industry has experienced problems such as content homogeneity and the disconnection between uploaded videos and audience needs. These problems have seriously restricted the development of the short video industry, which in turn affects the viability of the platform. Based on the above problems, this paper will investigate the behavioral intention of students in Chongqing's vocational colleges to "short video", analyze the factors that affect the behavioral intention of college students to use short video, and provide suggestions for the development of the short video industry.

In this study, Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology will be selected. First, Chongqing is located at the intersection of an important strategic fulcrum for the development of the western region and the connection point between the "Belt and Road" and the Yangtze River Economic Belt and has a prominent position in China's development pattern in the new era. In recent years, relying on the implementation of the "Big Data Intelligence" strategy and the promotion of the "Six Guarantees" policy, the Chongqing area has become a gathering center for short video and live broadcast related industries by building a 5G video base and promoting the development of the online economy.

China's short video industry has entered a mature stage of development. Social short video apps represented by Tiktok and Kuaishou have developed fastest and been attracting a large amount of domestic capital and accelerating overseas deployment. Based on the huge user base, governments and social organizations are also actively entering social short video apps to promote the government image and disseminate social welfare information more effectively. Driven by both commercial interests and social value, social short video apps have received widespread attention. Because college students are the main users of social short video APP and due to the large number of colleges and universities in Chongqing and their relatively scattered distribution, it will consume a lot of manpower and material resources if the survey is carried out in the whole of Chongqing. Second, Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology plans to cover an area of more than 800 mu, with a school building area of more than 300,000 square meters. The college has a modern college

student canteen, tennis courts, badminton courts, table tennis courts, basketball courts, volleyball courts, wind and rain playgrounds, gymnasiums, etc. The college's experimental training room is well-equipped with the four-in-one functions of teaching, training, research and development, and production. There are more than 100 offcampus practice training bases, covering all majors offered. Taking Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology as an example, the survey results are sufficiently representative and accurate. Therefore, the survey object of this study is the college students at Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology.

Since Chongqing's higher vocational education accounts for half of Chongqing's higher education, it is of great significance and urgent to strengthen the research on the social short video APP behavioral intention of students in Chongqing's higher vocational colleges. In addition, the city has a certain guiding effect on the rapid development of China education and economic industries, it is worthy of further study.

Studies have pointed out that there are significant differences in normative expectations and values between men and women (Lin, et al., 2016), which makes men and women display and value different types of interpersonal behaviors. When using interpersonal communication in APP, women and men have different goals and different activities, and women will participate in more social interactions and cooperative activities than men (Guadagno et al., 2011). Studies have shown that men and women have different motivations for spending time when using social short video apps (Li & Kirkup, 2007). Sun et al. (2015) found that men pay more attention to the extrinsic motivation of participating in social media, while women pay more attention to the intrinsic motivation. Also Lin et al. (2016) explored the difference in the impact of different types of social support in social short video APPs on the continuous use intention of men and women, and concluded that men pay more attention to information support in social media, while women pay more attention to emotional support. Many studies believe that gender is an important way to classify social short video APP users (Sun et al., 2015). Therefore, it is necessary to study the differences in the reasons why men and women use short video apps.

In summary, the finding of this paper has great practical significance and application value. The main practical significance is that through the investigation, we can understand the reasons and current situation of college students using short videos, grasp some problems accumulated during the rapid development of short videos, and provide a basis for the supervision and management of relevant departments to achieve the purpose of purifying cyberspace. Its application value is reflected in the ability to understand the needs of college students for short videos and related opinions and suggestions, discover some shortcomings of short videos. The mining of patterns provides suggestions so that it can better serve users.

#### Research of Problem

1. Does the acceptance and use of short video apps affect students' behavioral intentions?

2. Is the relationship between short video APP acceptance and use and behavioral intention moderated by gender?

#### Objectives of the study

1. To investigate the impacts of acceptance and use of short video APP on behavioral intention of students in Higher Vocational Colleges

2. To investigate the moderating effect of gender on the relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention of students in Higher Vocational Colleges

#### Expected Results

#### Theoretical value

The short video industry has grown by leaps and bounds. It is not only favored by the industry, but also attracts the attention of academics. This study selects college students as the investigation object. By relevant literature, understanding the characteristics of short video APP, combining with UTAUT2 theory, analyzing students' behavioral intention to use short video APP, and providing ideas for the study of behavioral intention to use short video APP.

According to previous literature, it has been found that the current research on short video mainly focuses on the development status and content production mode of short video. In addition, some master theses have also explored users' behavioral intention towards mobile short video APP, but the overall research on the factors influencing their behavioral intention is still relatively small. This paper noticed at the beginning of the topic selection that the existing research on the factors influencing behavioral intention of social short video APP is not systematic enough, so this issue is explored, and this study finds acceptance and use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention, and future scholars studying the factors influencing behavioral intention of social short video APP are provided certain academic references.

#### Practical value

This study selected college students as the subjects to explore the basic characteristics and influencing factors of their use behavior. Through the specific description of the user portrait of the social short video APP and the mining of the influencing factors of the user's behavioral intention, the researchers expected to understand the needs of college students for short videos and relevant opinions and suggestions, and find some shortcomings of short videos. By realizing the sharing of information content and the innovation of information transmission channels, business enterprises can constantly increase the number of short video users, thus increasing the flow and improving the profits of the enterprises.

#### Scope of the study

Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology, located in the west of Chongqing, China, a city with advanced technology, continuous development of digital economy and large population. This study takes students from Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology as a sample.

#### Area of population

The object of this study is the students at Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology.

#### Scope of contents

To study the factors of social short video APP behavioral intention, the research will be carried out under the UTAUT2 model and other related theories.

#### Time

The study of this research started in October 2022 and ended in June 2023.

#### Definition of term

Vocational school: In China, higher vocational colleges are institutions or places that implement higher education. Since China's higher vocational education includes specialist level and undergraduate level. Therefore, higher vocational colleges in this study are defined as schools that implement higher vocational education at the specialist level. They are schools where students acquire the knowledge and technical skills needed for careers. This research specifically refers to Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology, which is in Dazu District, Chongqing City.

Short video APP: In this study, short video APP refers to an APP that provides short-term video, has a corresponding social mobile platform embedded in it, and can meet certain social needs of users, and its content production methods include UGC

(user-generated content), PGC (professional-generated content), and PUGC (professional user-generated content).

Behavioral Intention: Users predict that they will use the short video APP later, or even recommend others to use it. The decisive factor that determines the user's use behavior is the user's willingness to use. Other factors are only indirect, that is, the stronger the willingness to use, the higher the probability of using behavior. In this study, users' behavioral intention is the final indicator of users' use of short video APP. The degree to which a person has formulated conscious plans to perform or not perform some specified future behavior (Brezavšček, Šparl & Žnidaršič, 2016).

Technology adoption: Technology adoption refers to the process that people or organizations accept and use new technologies. It involves learning and adapting to new technologies, and is affected by performance expectancy, effort expectancy, facilitating conditions, and social influence. In business, technology adoption refers to the acceptance and integration of new technologies into already-existing systems or the development of brand-new ones. It involves fully utilizing technology as possible as it could be while making adjustments to meet changing consumer needs.



#### CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Theories Related to the study

#### Theory of Rational Action (TRA)

In 1975, American scholars Fishbein and Ajzen (1975) put forward the theory of rational behavior based on social psychology. Fishbein and Ajzen (1975) believe that people are rational people, and people will not be dominated by external unconscious incentives or forces when they make any actions or behaviors. As the highest animal in nature, man can completely control and decide his own behavior independently. This theory mainly explains the causal relationship between behavioral beliefs, behavioral attitudes and behavioral norms related to people themselves. The socalled behavioral intention is the subjective feeling before taking specific actions, and the behavioral intention directly determines whether the specific behavior can be produced. At the same time, two attitudes and subjective norms are also introduced into the theoretical model, and it is believed that the two have effects on behavior by directly affecting behavioral intention. For attitude, we often refer to a classic saying: Attitude determines everything, and attitude determines destiny. A person's attitude towards a certain thing (like, dislike, irrelevant), etc. will directly lead to corresponding behavioral intentions (want, don't want, don't care), etc., and then lead to behavior (buy, don't buy, it doesn't matter). On the other hand, the subjective norms in the model can be understood as the influence of social factors. Subjective norms can also influence behavioral intentions and specific behaviors like attitudes. Its model is shown in Figure 2.1. However, it should be emphasized that although TRA can predict people's behavioral intentions to a certain extent, the theory assumes of rational people. Constrained by uncontrollable factors, humans are not omnipotent, and not everything can be controlled by them. Therefore, with the deepening of research, the theory of rational behavior exposed the limitations of its own theory and research: individual behavior is not completely controlled by consciousness. However, even so, the introduction of rational behavior theory can be said to be a great leap forward in the field of technology acceptance, and it is the basis of technology acceptance and use theory. As shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Schematic diagram of a theoretical model of rational behavior

#### Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

After realizing the defects of TRA, Ajzen (1985) improved the TRA model based on TRA, introduced the variable of perceived behavior control, and proposed the theory of planned behavior. This theory began to pay attention to the fact that human behavior is not completely controlled by consciousness and began to shift the question of discussion and research from the person itself to outside the person. The newly introduced perceived behavioral control variable of the theory is the individual's perception of the difficulty of the next behavior. Perceived behavioral control is influenced by controlling beliefs and perceived convenience. Assuming that the operation process of the new technology is simple, the interface is simple, and it is easy to learn and use, then users will have the intention and behavior to accept and use it. Therefore, perceived behavioral control can also affect individuals' behavioral intentions as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3 Schematic diagram of the theory of planned behavior

It is undeniable that both TRA and TPB models can better predict individual behavior intentions and specific behavioral factors. However, there are still strong limitations in the specific research on the acceptance and use of information technology. Because the belief factor in the model is a relatively vague concept, it may have different meanings in different studies. Therefore, it needs to be defined in advance when applied to specific technology adoption research, which makes the practical application of the theory more complicated. On the other hand, subjective norms in models may not be adequately substantiated in empirical studies.

#### Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

With the deepening of research, Davis (1986) proposed the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) in his doctoral dissertation based on rational action theory and planned behavior theory. This theory is also used to predict and explain users' attitudes and behaviors in the face of new technologies. However, unlike the above two theories, this model believes that there are two determinants that affect whether users accept information technology: one is Perceived usefulness, that is, the degree to which an individual believes that using a new technology will help him improve his job performance. The other is perceived ease of use, which is the degree to which an individual thinks that an information system is easy to operate and use. Perceived ease of use in this theory has a similar concept to perceived behavioral control in Theory of Planned Behavior. Different from the above-mentioned theories, Davis (1986) believes that the individual's use attitude is the most likely to affect the use behavior intention. At the same time, the use attitude is equivalent to an intermediate variable in the theoretical model, mediating the effect of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use on the use behavior intention as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 Technology Acceptance Model Diagram

TAM has become one of the most influential theoretical models in the field of information technology acceptance research because it considers the differences in user behavior. As a universal model, TAM has been praised by researchers and has been widely used. Studies have shown that the model can explain 59% of the variance (Wang, 2009). But the model also has shortcomings. To avoid the uncertainty of subjective norms from misleading the research, Davis (1986) eliminated the influencing factor of subjective norms in the TAM model. However, in real life, subjective norms cannot be ignored, and they may change individual attitudes towards new

technologies through the process of internalization and identification and affect the intention to use behaviors.

#### The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)

Research on consumer technology acceptance and use has been refined and developed since the 1970s. The Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA for short) proposed by Fishbein (1979) is one of the most basic theories for early research on user technology acceptance. On this basis, the knowledge of more disciplines is included in the scope of research, and the outer edge of user technology acceptance research is continuously expanded. To better refer to the advantages and disadvantages of different technology acceptance and use models, and reasonably construct a research theoretical model, this study sorts out and briefly analyzes relevant theories in Table 1.1 according to the development sequence of user technology acceptance behavior theories. The selected models have reasonably predicted and explained users' acceptance behavior and understanding of new technologies in different fields in previous studies and have been fully verified by practice as shown in Table 1.

Model	Mod <mark>el Va</mark> ri	able	Model point of view	Applicable scope
				of the model
TRA	Behavioral attitud	de	The user's behavioral attitude	Research on the
	subjective norm		and subjective norms determine	subjective
			the willingness to act, which in	behavior of users
			turn affects the behavior (Yu	under the
			Dan, Dong Dahai, Liu Ruiming &	assumption that
			Yuan Yongdan,2008)	people are rational
TPB	behavioral belief	s	User behavior is not only	Under the
	subjective norm		affected by behavioral	interference of
	perceived b	ehavioral	intentions, but also restricted by	external factors,
	control		actual conditions such as	the study of
			personal ability, environment,	behaviors that are
			and resources.	not completely
				controlled by
				personal will (Han
				Ye Ha & Seol Yun
				Jin, 2019)

Table 1 Related models of user technology acceptance behavior	theory
---	--------

#### Table 1 (Continued)

Model	Model Variable	Model point of view	Applicable
			scope
			of the model
ТАМ	perceived usefulness	Perceived usefulness and	acceptabce of
	perceived ease of use	perceived ease of use	computer
		determine user evaluation and	information
		acceptance of the system	systems
TAM2	perceived usefulness	Social influence and cognitive	Under the
	perceived ease of use	instrumental processes affect	influence of
	social influence	perceived usefulness, and	normative effect,
	cognitive instrumental	perceived usefulness and	users' acceptance
	process	perceived ease of use affect	of the system
		user tec <mark>hno</mark> logy acceptance	
UTAUT	Performance	Performance expectations,	Analyze the user's
	Expectations/Effort	effort expectations, and social	willingness to
	Expectations/Social	influence facilitators are four	behave in a group
	Influences/Facilitators	influencing factors that affect	en <mark>v</mark> ironment
		users' willingness to use	
UTAUT <mark>2</mark>	performance	User intentions and behavior	Us <mark>e</mark> rs' willingness
	expectations/effort	are influenced by performance	to behave in a
	expectations/social	expectations, effort	group
	influences/facilitators/he	expectations, social influences,	environment,
	donic motivations/price	facilitators, hedonic motivations,	focusing more on
	value/habits	price values, and habits	personal emotions
			utility analysis

Source according to the literature data collation and summary

Through combining the theories and models related to user technology acceptance behavior, it is found that the applicable scenarios of different models are also significantly different. For example, the model construction of TPB, TRA, and TAM is simple, and it is easier to define variables, but researchers need to sort out and construct variable systems by themselves; the structure of TAM2 is relatively complex, but the introduction of new variables makes the reference factors more comprehensive and has better explanatory power. Later researchers continued to expand and construct new model variables according to different research objects to improve the explanatory power of the model on user technology adoption and usage. Venkatesh et al. (2000) synthesized the advantages of many research models of technology acceptance and adoption and formed an integrated theoretical model of

technology acceptance and adoption called UTAUT. Studies have shown that the UTAUT model can explain 70% of user technology acceptance and adoption behaviors, which is more accurate than any previous research model. UTAUT can be used to measure key factors in the promotion of new technologies and systems, and through this model, targeted recommendations can be made to promote user acceptance and usage behavior. Its model is shown in Figure 5 below:



The early UTAUT model was often used to analyze the willingness and behavior of independent individuals to use new technologies and new systems under the control of groups. In actual situations, users often exist as consumers, and UTAUT does not reflect the relevant variables of users as consumers; in the UTAUT model, all influencing factors are classified as practical motivation, ignoring the importance of hedonic motivation in the motivation theory (Zhang et al., 2018). Venkatesh et al. (2012) further improved the model structure, added three variables of hedonic motivation, price value, and habit to the core variables, and adjusted the four adjustments of gender, age, experience, and voluntariness in the original model. Variables, thus obtaining the second-generation extended model of UTAUT, are shown in figure 6 below.



Since the model adds factors such as price value, hedonic motivation and habit to explain the use intention, the reference value of the model is further extended to the field of consumer behavior research; the practice has proved that the model's ability to explain the behavioral intention and use behavior has been significantly improved and is by far the mature model with the highest degree of interpretation for information technology in the user field.

#### **Theoretical Framework**

#### User Acceptance Theoretical Model

For a new technology, the prerequisite for it to be effective is to be accepted and used by users. To better study users' acceptance, understanding and adoption of new technologies from an individual perspective, Fishbein et al. (1979) have constructed many theoretical models, including but not limited to "rational behavior theory", "planned behavior theory", "technology acceptance model", "Innovation Diffusion Theory", and "Unified Technology Adoption and Use Model", etc. This study sorts out the relevant theoretical models accepted by researchers to determine the reference theoretical models suitable for this study.

#### Empirical Research on Unified Technology Adoption and Use Model

UTAUT and its extended model UTAUT2 surpass the previous user technology acceptance behavior theory in explanatory power, so it is often used by scholars to study the influencing factors of user behavior. Based on the UTAUT model, Hennington & Janz (2007) analyzed the influencing factors of patients using electronic medical records, a new digital tool, and made suggestions for the promotion of hospital digital systems; Lin et al. (2008) studied the situation of college students using messaging software and analyzed the factors of Taiwanese college students using social networks. The factors that influenced the use of similar APPs provide a reference for the UTAUT model to analyze user media behavioral intention.

Scholars using UTAUT and its extended model to conduct theoretical research on user technology acceptance is becoming more and more mature, and more researchers have introduced new independent variables in their research, expanding the application field of UTAUT and its extended model. In the literature using the UTAUT model to study user media products, platforms, and technology usage, scholars have introduced "learning expectations" (Zhang & Fang, 2019), "content familiarity" (Li, 2014), "media richness", "satisfaction", "brand influence" and other variables, which have further expanded the interpretation of users' willingness to use and behavior to different fields. UTAUT and its extended model have good application prospects in many traditional and emerging research fields such as computer science, medicine, management, psychology, education, communication, etc. In addition, the research objects also cover blockchain technology, electronic medical records, mobile banking, Alipay, new media and other current academic research hotspots. And variables used for empirical research are constantly being introduced.

To sum up, the unified theory of acceptance and use of Technology Model (UTAUT) and its extended model (UTAUT2) are two of the models with strong explanatory power and broad research fields in the study of user technology acceptance. The models can better explain the influencing factors of user's use of a new technology, and this kind of analysis is extremely efficient. Among them, the UTAUT model is more suitable for the research on user technology acceptance and behavioral intention under the control of the group environment, while UTAUT2 weakens the influence of the group effect and increases the psychological utility of individuals for technology use (Luo, 2019). Considering that when college students use social short video APPs, the control effect of the group and the environment is weak, and the differences in usage intentions and behaviors are more due to differences in individual cognition and emotion. This study adopts the second-generation unified technology or UTAUT2 model as a reference theoretical model to study the factors affecting the use of social video APP by college students.

#### Meaning of UTAUT2 model variables

Based on the UTAUT2 model, this study focuses on factors that affect college students' use of social short video APP. According to the characteristics of this study, each statistical indicator is given different specific meanings, as shown in Table 2.

Index	Meaning
Performance	The degree to which an individual believes that using social
Expectancy	short video APPs will help him or her to attain gains in job
	performance (Venkatesh et al., 2003)
Effort Expectancy	The degree of ease associated with the use of social
	short video APPs (Venkatesh et al., 2003)
Social Influence	the degree to which an individual perceives that important
	others believe he or she should use social short video APPs
Facilitating	The degree to which an individual believes that an
Conditi <mark>o</mark> ns	organizational and technical infrastructure exists to support
	use of <mark>social short video APPs (Venkatesh et al.,</mark> 2003)
Hedonic Motivation	The fun or pleasure derived from using social short video APPs
	(Venkatesh et al., 2012)
Price Value	consumers' cognitive tradeoff between the perceived benefits
	of the social short video applications and the monetary cost
	for using them (Venkatesh et al., 2012)
Habit	the extent to which people tend to perform behaviors
	automatically because of learning (Venkatesh et al., 2012)
Behavioral	Possibility of users to use or continue to use short video APP
Intention	in the future (Cheng, 2017)
AUAPP	Acceptance and use of short video APP as a whole

 Table 2 Specific meanings of statistical indicators

#### Moderating variable

Yang et al. (2010) also found that gender differences will have an impact on the usage behavior of social short video APP. In the study by Zhang et al. (2018), to test the difference in the impact of gender differences on short video usage behavior, male and female users were divided into two groups, and the corresponding path coefficients of the two groups of models were statistically compared. The results show that extroversion and entertainment significantly affect users' browsing behavior, and the impact on men is higher than that on women; belonging needs and information records also significantly affect users' browsing behavior, but the impact of these two factors on men is weaker than that on women; narcissism, extroversion, popularity needs and entertainment significantly affect users' creative behavior, and there are gender differences in the strength of their influence. In addition, Wang and Zhang (2015) studied the motivational factors affecting social media use, and pointed out that gender differences can lead to different effects on motivation to use. For example, girls generally had higher data than boys on motivations for "self-expression and social approval," while boys only outperformed girls in "creating new friendships," with differences in motivations ultimately leading to differences in usage behavior. In summary, this study believes that the positive associated between the acceptance and use of short video APPs and behavioral intention is moderated by gender, and the degree of influence is higher in men than in women.

#### Related Research

## Research on the overall development and commercial value of the short video industry

It mainly discusses the status, trends, and possible problems of the overall development of the short video industry, as well as the commercial value of the short video industry. Guo (2016) analyzed the financing amount of the short video industry, and believed that China's short video market has ushered in a period of rapid development, and short video has become a new outlet and new trend, which deserves the attention of traditional media. Li (2018) studied the development status of short videos in China and pointed out that short videos were developing in the direction of verticalization, diversification, personalization and standardization, and vertical market segments of the industry were emerging. Moreover, Wang & Ren (2016) pointed out that domestic short videos were mainly divided into three types, which are social, tool and information. The positioning and functions of the three short videos were different. The main research object of this study is related to social short videos. In the research on the development status of short videos at the same time, many scholars also mentioned the problems in the development of short videos. Wang and Sun (2018) pointed out that the domestic short video development is facing problems such as content homogeneity, unclear profit models, and lack of supervision. According to Zhang (2017), taking Meipai and Miaopai APP as examples, the future development trend and commercial value of the mobile short video industry have been pointed out through literature research and descriptive statistical analysis. It has been believed that mobile short video can be applied to news reports, and its commercial value mainly reflects in scene marketing, experience marketing, advertising placement and topic marketing. In addition, there are also some articles discussing how news media can transform under the trend of short video. For example, Ma (2017) believed that as far as China's online news media was concerned, the development of short video brought not only updates in content production and information dissemination, but also an important entry point for comprehensive reflection and even reconstruction of the entire Internet news production and consumption model.

#### Research on Different Platforms of Short Video Industry

This type of research studies mainly takes individual short video platforms as examples to study the characteristics of short video development on these platforms. Gao (2016) generally believe that content and social attributes are the key to the development of short video platforms. However, such articles rarely compare the characteristics of different platforms for short videos. Huang (2017) took the Miaopai short video platform as the research object, analyzed the dissemination characteristics of the Miaopai video, pointed out that the platform has the advantages of technological interconnection and good marketing, and has shortcomings such as lack of functions and content homogeneity, and it is suggested that Miaopai videos should continue to encourage content production. Also Gao (2016) took Kuaishou APP as the research object, using the theory of "use and satisfaction", through simple descriptive statistics and in-depth interviews, to analyze the popular trend and content of Kuaishou APP, summed up the behavioral characteristics of Kuaishou APP users gradually transforming from spectators to photographers, and finally concluded that the reason why Kuaishou APP was so popular was that it could activate users' "needs" and continuously met users' new needs. Wu (2017) studied the Tiktok platform, summed up the reasons for its success and the remaining deficiencies, and believed that the Tiktok APP, through its precise marketing strategy and product positioning, relies on online celebrities to create momentum and fan effect, and increasing offline user engagement and attention has been an amazing success. But at the same time, the Tiktok platform also has shortcomings such as single content and weak social attributes. Xia & Xie (2017) believed, in the research on the ecological matrix layout of short-term technological mobile short videos, that the domestic short-video platforms had the characteristics of high content homogeneity and easy duplication of business models. There are social platforms that leverage each other to create a good ecosystem and form core competitiveness.

#### Research on Short Video Users

The research on such issues mainly involves the behavior research of short video audiences, some of which use the questionnaire survey method and in-depth interview method to understand the user's "use and satisfaction". Huang (2015) took Xiaokaxiu as an example. By issuing questionnaires and using descriptive statistics methods, he obtained the effect of mood transformation, interpersonal relationship, self-cognition, competition, and the effectiveness of obtaining other people's evaluations on user behavior. It has obvious promotion effect. In addition, Wang & Lu (2018) interviewed 13 users through the in-depth interview method, conducted research on short video audience preferences, and concluded that there was a disconnect between the production, viewing and dissemination of short videos. Some users use short video APPs for video production, while the communication process still uses acquaintance social tools such as WeChat. Qi (2016) studied the effect of hierarchy

model and used questionnaire survey method to conduct empirical research on the motivation and behavior of short video users, and the conclusion shows that the use motivation highly positively affects the user's acceptance of short video APP, media cognition positively affects the user's emotional attitude and behavioral intention; emotional attitude positively affects behavioral intention; and behavioral intention positively affects user's use behavior; Lu (2017) analyzed the user characteristics, viewing experience, cognitive behavior and user feedback of college students' Weibo short videos through literature research and questionnaire survey methods, using descriptive statistical analysis. The research conclusion is that college students with differences in gender and professional grade have a significant impact on user behavior; major and gender have a significant impact on user satisfaction. LI KYOUNG (2014) studied the acceptance behavior of mobile travel APP users, and believed that facilitating conditions, willingness to use, effort expectancy, performance expectancy, and individual innovation all have an impact on users' final use of mobile travel APPs. Li (2014) studied the willingness to use mobile video APP, and believed that performance expectancy, social influence, information demand, entertainment demand, and individualized demand have a positive impact on the willingness to use mobile video APP.

#### Conceptual Framework

Based on the UTAUT and UTAUT2 literature research, it can be found that the models can effectively explain the willingness and behavior of technology use. Using this model as an effective tool to evaluate the adaptability of various technologies in specific groups can be used to estimate the possibility of successful application of the technology. This study considers the characteristics of the social short video APP, based on the actual research, and constructs a new model suitable for this study by modifying the classic model of UTAUT2. Finally, based on the new model, a questionnaire is designed to lay the foundation for the following empirical analysis.

The respondents to this study are mainly college students. Considering their actual situation and the relatively short rise of social short videos, the age and experience moderating variables are deleted. Based on the literature research of gender differences, it is mentioned that the gender moderating variables will also affect students' behavioral intention to use social short videos. To sum up, this study will use this conceptual framework to explore the behavior intention of students' social short video APP as shown in figure 7.



Figure 7 Conceptual Framework

#### Research Hypotheses

H1: Acceptance and use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.1: Performance expectancy of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.2: Effort expectancy of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.3: Social influence of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.4: Facilitating conditions of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.5: Hedonic motivation of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.6: Price value of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H1.7: Habit of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

H2: The positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.1: The positive relationship between performance expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.2: The positive relationship between effort expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.3: The positive relationship between social influence and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.4: The positive relationship between facilitating conditions and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.5: The positive relationship between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.6: The positive relationship between price value and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

H2.7: The positive relationship between habit and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.



#### CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

The objective of this chapter is to describe and explain the research procedure of this study, which is aimed at answering the following research questions:

1. Does the acceptance and use of short video apps affect students' behavioral intentions?

2. Is the relationship between short video APP acceptance and use and behavioral intention moderated by gender?

The details of this chapter include: (1) Population and Sampling; (2) pretest process and results with scale reliability and validity; and (3) an introduction to the main study.

#### Population and Sampling

#### Population

The object of this study is the students at Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology. There are 43 higher vocational colleges in Chongqing, China. This study takes Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology as the research object, with a total number of 6,723 students.

This research is survey research, and college students of different majors are selected, so that the research involves higher vocational college students at all levels as much as possible, ensuring the data basis of the research.

#### Sampling

This study mainly focuses on students of different majors in Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology. The formula suggested by Yamane (1967) was used to calculate the population sample, and students were selected to conduct a questionnaire survey.

Formula for known Sample:  $n = N/1 + N(e)^2$ N=6723 n= 400 Standard Error: 5% Therefore,  $n = (6.723/1) + 6.723*(0.05)^2 = -100$ 

Therefore, n =  $(6,723/1) + 6,723^{*}(0.05)^{2} = 377$ , which is approximately 400 respondents.

The questionnaires were distributed to college students, and the questionnaires were carried out in the form of questionnaire stars. Due to the accuracy and completeness of the data, the actual number of questionnaires collected is higher than the number of samples obtained according to the formula. There are 5 colleges in Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology, 90 randomly distributed copies per college. Therefore, a total of 450 electronic questionnaires were recovered

in this survey, of which 428 were valid questionnaires obtained after screening. The questionnaire recovery is shown in table 3.

Number	College name	Quantity	effective	questionnaire
		issued	quantity	form
1	Business college	90	88	electronic
				version
2	College of	90	84	electronic
	Engineering			version
3	Future Education 📀	90	87	electronic
	college			version
4	National Defense	90	85	electronic
	Education college			version
5	College of	90	84	electronic
	Intelligent Industry			version
	Total	450	428	6

 Table 3 Questionnaire recovery

In order to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the questionnaire distribution, a number of tutors, course teachers and fellow students were contacted for matching when distributing the questionnaire to assist with the distribution of the questionnaire and the communication of the questions. The study also took the following initiatives to improve the quality of the data collected: 1) online questionnaire distribution, by contacting tutors, class teachers and classmates to communicate and help with the distribution and collection of questionnaires by means of sharing links in WeChat groups and individually sending them to college students on WeChat, and rewarding college students with red packets for filling out the questionnaire after completion; and 2) distribution of small gifts, by purchasing small gifts in advance and distributing them during class when the class is filled out, in order to improve the quality and efficiency of questionnaires.

#### Measurement of Variables

This study refers to previous literature using the UTAUT and UTAUT2 models in the field of user technology acceptance behavior and expansion, especially the research on user acceptance behavior of media products. Satisfying the theory to conduct research on user media use, screening the measurement items with good reliability and validity in previous studies, and finally referring to the results, combined with the research content of this study, and making corresponding revisions to the popularity about short video, the specific measurement items for each variable have been developed and are shown in Table 4 below.

Variable	Codes	Measurement item	reference
			source
	PE1	Using the short video app, I can gain fans	
		and expand my social network.	
Performance	PE2	Using the short video APP, I can learn to use	Venkatesh et al.
Expectancy		life skills.	(2003)
(PE)	PE3	By sharing short videos to social accounts	
		such as WeChat, I can strengthen my	
		interaction with friends.	
	EE1	I think the functional modules of the short	
		video APP are easy to use and easy to	
Effort		operate.	Venkatesh et al.
Expectancy	EE2	When I encounter difficulties using the short	(2003)
(EE)		video APP, I can easily ask friends around me	
		for advice.	
	EE3	I think short video shooting is not difficult.	
	SI1	Many people around me are using short	
		video Apps.	
Social	SI2	Someone once recommended a short video	Venkatesh et al.
Influence		App to me.	(2003)
(SI)	SI3	My relatives and friends often recommend	
		interesting short video apps to me.	
	FC1	Convenient mobile terminals and diverse	
		short video platforms prompt me to watch	
Facilitating		social short videos.	
Conditions	FC2	The free price will drive me to social short	Venkatesh et al.
(FC)		videos.	(2003)
	FC3	Public opinion guidance and publicity	
		prompted me to watch short social videos.	
	HM1	I can often find a lot of interesting short	
		videos in the short video APP.	
Hedonic	HM2	I often immerse myself in watching short	
Motivation		videos for a long time.	Venkatesh et al.
(HM)	HM3	When I encounter interesting short videos, I	(2012)
		will share them with my relatives and	
		friends.	

 Table 4 Variable measurement items and reference sources

#### Table 4 (Continued)

Variable	Codes	Measurement item	reference
			source
	PV1	I spend almost no money using short videos.	
	PV2	The traffic consumed by watching short	
Price Value		videos is within my acceptable range.	Venkatesh et al.
(PV)	PV3	Watching short videos somewhat reduces	(2003)
		my need for other paid videos.	
	HB1	I open the short video APP almost every	
		day.	
Habit	HB2	When I am free, I will unconsciously open	Venkatesh et al.
(HB)		the short video APP.	(2003)
	HB3	It is difficult for me to uninstall all the short	
		video apps on my phone.	
	BI1	I often feel happy and satisfied after	
		watching the short video.	
Behavi <mark>o</mark> ral	BI2	I am willing to continue using the short	Venkatesh et al.
Intention		video APP.	(2012)
(BI)	BI3	I am willing to recommend my relatives and	
		friends to use the short video APP.	
	0	0 is female	Demuth & Brown
Gender	1	1 is male	(2004)

#### **Research Instrument**

The survey for this study was conducted through the online questionnaire survey platform "Questionnaire Star". According to the survey purpose of this study as well as the actual situation and theoretical basis, the questionnaire is divided into four parts:

The first part mainly includes the basic situation of college students such as gender, household registration type, major, personality, consumption level, average daily mobile phone entertainment time, and mobile phone traffic package. This part is mainly used to explore the possible factors of whether college students use social short video APP, and some variables are also used as the moderating variables of other factors on whether to use social short video APP.

The second part of the questionnaire is the behavioral intention of social short video APP users. The main questions include whether to use, frequency of use, duration of use, whether to recharge, whether to consume through links, behavioral intention possible problems, and reasons for not using. It is hoped that from these

questions, we can understand the current situation of college students using social short videos and the current problems of short videos.

The third part of the questionnaire is a scale designed based on the UTAUT2 model, which includes performance expectations, effort expectations, social influence, facilitating conditions, hedonic motivation, price value, and habit. The scale uses the Likert scale, and users need to score according to their actual situation. The scoring criteria are as follows:



The fourth part is open-ended question for any respondent who is willing to put forward suggestions and opinions on the improvement of short video APP, further explore and discuss the influencing factors of students' behavioral intention to use short video, and explore other factors that may affect people's intention to use, and give any suggestion for further research.

#### Pretesting of the Instrument

In this study, we also conducted a preliminary survey of the respondents using statistical software. The questionnaire was constructed according to the model, incorporating some scales of scholars. After the localization and translation of the questionnaire, a prediction was made on the questionnaire to ensure the basic reliability and validity of the questionnaire, and then the questionnaire was put into use. To ensure the validity and reliability of the questionnaire, we used online electronic questionnaires to conduct surveys, mainly through WeChat, QQ and other platforms. In order to verify the reliability and validity of the questionnaire, 30 questionnaires were randomly distributed to the targeted sampling group to test the instrument and then conducted reliability and validity analysis on them.

#### **Reliability Test**

Reliability testing is a method used to evaluate the reliability and stability of measurement tools. The most commonly used reliability coefficient is the "Cronbach Alpha" coefficient. The larger the coefficient, the more stable and reliable the questionnaire is. According to the needs of the research, this study uses SPSS 26.0 to
measure the reliability of the scale, check the consistency of the scale items in the research design, and whether the items can stably measure the corresponding dimensions. If the reliability coefficient reaches 0.7, it indicates good internal consistency (Cronbach, 1951). If the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient after deleting a certain item is greater than the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of the dimension, it means that after removing this item, the reliability coefficient of the scale will increase, and the item should be deleted.

This study conducted a reliability test on the scale used in the questionnaire to ensure the reliability of the study. The reliability analysis results of the measurement items of Performance Expectancy, Effort Expectancy, Social Influence, Facilitating Conditions, Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit and Behavioral Intention are shown in Table 5.

Variable	Item	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient
Performance Expectancy	3	0.872
Effort Expectancy	3	0.917
Social Influence	3	0.925
Facilitating Conditions	3	0.919
Hedonic Motivation	3	0.898
Price value	3	0.930
Habit	3	0.955
Behavioral Intention	3	0.954

 Table 5 Reliability analysis results of the questionnaire

In summary, the Cronbach's Alpha coefficients of the eight scales are all greater than 0.7, indicating that the questionnaire has high reliability, so further empirical analysis can be done (Sürücü & MASLAKÇI, 2020).

#### Validity Test

Validity test is a method used to test whether it can accurately reflect the required measurement content. The higher the validity, the higher the degree of the measurement tools used in the research can accurately reflect the content to be measured; otherwise, the lower the degree of measurement. Among them, "factor analysis" is one of the most commonly used methods for construct validity analysis, that is, using the "dimensionality reduction" method to select some common factors from the items in the research design, and these common factors represent the basic structure of the questionnaire. The KMO value ranges from 0 to 1. If the KMO value is above 0.6, it means that these items are suitable for factor analysis, and the closer to 1, the more suitable it is (Smits, 2008). The results of KMO and Bartlett test are shown in Table 6.

Veriable	KMO	Bartlett's Test of Sphericity			
variable	KMO	Approx.Chi-Square	df	Sig.	
Performance Expectancy	0.740	42.131	3	0.000	
Effort Expectancy	0.750	60.262	3	0.000	
Social Influence	0.744	66.641	3	0.000	
Facilitating Conditions	0.712	64.958	3	0.000	
Hedonic Motivation	0.658	68.405	3	0.000	
Price value	0.724	72.012	3	0.000	
Habit	0.749	90.203	3	0.000	
Behavioral Intention	0.746	95.258	3	0.000	

## Table 6 Results of KMO and Bartlett's Test

According to Table 6, the KMO value of Performance Expectancy is 0.740>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that Performance Expectancy is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Effort Expectancy is 0.750>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the variable is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Social Influence is 0.744>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the Social Influence scale is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Facilitating Conditions is 0.712>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that Facilitating Conditions is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Hedonic Motivation is 0.658>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the Hedonic Motivation scale is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Price value is 0.724>0.6, and the significant p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the Price value scale is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Habit is 0.749>0.6, and the significance p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the Habit scale is suitable for further factor analysis; the KMO value of Behavioral Intention is 0.746>0.6, the significance p-value is less than 0.001, indicating that the Behavioral Intention scale is suitable for further factor analysis. The results of factor analysis of the questionnaire are shown in Table 7.

Variable	ltem	Factor load factor	Extraction Sums of
	054	0.007	Squared Loadings
Performance	PE1	0.906	
Expectancy	PE2	0.894	80.108%
	PE3	0.885	
Effort	EE1	0.937	
Evoctoncy	EE2	0.937	85.871%
Expectancy	EE3	0.906	
Cociol	SI1	0.948	
SOCIAL	SI2	0.946	87.080%
Influence	SI3	0.906	
	FC1	0.959	
Facilitating	FC2	0.922	86.242%
Conditions	FC3	0.904	
	HM1	0.961	
Hedonic	HM2	0.937	83,488%
Mot <mark>i</mark> vation	HM3	0.839	
	PV1	0.963	
Price Value	PV/2	0.937	88 000%
Thee value	D\/3	0.914	00.00070
		0.073	
Uphit	112	0.975	01 7750/
Hadit		0.957	91.775%
	H3	0.944	
Behavioral	BI1	0.970	
Intention	BI2	0.970	91.804%
incention	BI3	0.934	

 Table 7 Factor analysis results of the questionnaire

According to Table 7, the factor loads of the three items of the Performance Expectancy scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 80.108%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Performance Expectancy scale is good; the factor loads of the three items of the Effort Expectancy scale all are greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 85.871%>0.6, indicating that the Effort Expectancy scale has good validity; the factor loads of the three items of the Social Influence scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 87.080%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Social Influence scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale of the three items of the factor loads of the three items of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 86.242%>0.6, indicating that the validity of the Facilitating Conditions scale are all greater

cumulative variance contribution rate is 83.488%>0.6, indicating that the Hedonic Motivation scale has good validity; the factor loads of the three items of the Price value scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 88.000%>0.6, showing that the Price value scale has good validity; the factor loads of the three items of the Habit scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance contribution rate is 91.775%>0.6, indicating that the Habit scale has good validity; the factor loads of the three items of the Behavioral Intention scale are all greater than 0.6, and the cumulative variance the Behavioral Intention scale had good validity. In summary, the total scale has good validity.

## Data Gathering

The secondary data for this research study were obtained from various sources including previous studies, journals, and other Internet sources. We collected primary data for this study. From Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology by designing a questionnaire. The data were collected for this study using a random sampling method. By applying the formula, the data were collected on 400 students at the Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology.

1. Inspection of survey data

After collecting all the questionnaires, we need to check the completeness and accuracy of the questionnaires.

The completeness check of the questionnaire is to check the sampling units and survey items of the sample, and check whether any sampling unit has not participated in the survey (including the pretesting stage) or whether all the survey items have been filled. The second is to check the accuracy of the questionnaire. The accuracy check is mainly to correct the outliers caused by the filling errors of the fillers or the deviation in the understanding of the questionnaire.

2. Data coding and entry

Due to the use of questionnaires for investigation, the questionnaires need to be coded. When setting the code, the two characteristics of generality and exclusion must be satisfied. Generality requires that for each answer on the questionnaire, its corresponding value should be found on the code table. Exclusiveness requires that the content represented by different coded values cannot appear Repetition and crossover and answers to the same questionnaire can only correspond to one coded item. Coding mainly saves cost and time, reduces the workload during data entry, and greatly improves work efficiency.

After data coding, the collected data can be easily entered. All the data of this survey are entered into SPSS to facilitate the next step of data analysis.

#### 3. Data purification and missing value processing

After data entry, data cleaning is required. And check whether there are inconsistencies; whether there are logical errors, whether there is random filling in the questionnaire. For random filling, we mainly consider the following two aspects: first, whether the filling time is reasonable, short, or long filling time is unreasonable, and we need to focus on it; second, judge from the distribution of options. There is a certain regularity in the scoring of options or all measurement dimensions. For example, the score distribution of each dimension is the same, and the score of a single dimension appears cyclically.

In this study, the following two methods are mainly used to deal with missing values. One is to directly eliminate questionnaires with many missing values. The second is that for questionnaires with only individual or a few missing values, this study uses the mean value or the mode instead. This is because the sample size of this survey is relatively large, and it is reasonable to use the centralized mean value instead.

## Analysis of Data

This study uses the statistical analysis software SPSS to analyze the collected data. The basic information and variables of the questionnaire samples were analyzed by descriptive statistics. The reliability and validity of the questionnaire were also analyzed. The correlation analysis and regression analysis were carried out between the seven variables. Finally, the research conclusion is drawn by analyzing the data.

#### Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistical analysis is a systematic method that uses relevant statistical analysis software to collate, interpret and analyze data. This study mainly analyzes two aspects of the questionnaire, one is the basic characteristics of the sample, and the other is the descriptive statistics of variables.

To interpret the meaning, the A Scale to Measure the Priority Criteria Relative Important of Choice Criteria was used to determine average values (James E. Nelson. 1982:18) as follows:

An average score between 4.21 - 5.00	Highest
An average score between 3.41 - 4.20	High
An average score between 2.61 - 3.40	Moderate
An average score between 1.81 – 2.60	Low
An average score between 1.00 - 1.80	Lowest

#### **Correlation Analysis**

Changes in anything will be more or less affected by other factors. Therefore, there must be some correlation between the relevant variables involved in this study. Correlation analysis is an analytical method used to measure the impact of things or factors on each other, and finally use statistical data to express. Correlation analysis is the basis of regression analysis. This study mainly uses the method of correlation analysis to analyze the relationship between variables.

#### **Regression Analysis**

Regression analysis is to determine the causal relationship between two or more variables, and evaluate whether the regression model can fit the measured data well by building a regression model, and then solving the parameters of the model according to the measured data; If it can be well fitted, further prediction can be made based on independent variables.

This study focuses on the factors influencing students' behavioral intention to use short video app, and according to the research hypothesis, multiple linear regression was used to analyze the regression equation as follows:

 $\mathsf{BI}_{i} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} A U A P P_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} \qquad (1)$ 

The main test is whether hypothesis H1: acceptance and use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention is valid.

$$\mathsf{BI}_{i} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} \mathsf{P} \mathsf{E}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} \mathsf{E} \mathsf{E}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{3} \mathsf{S} \mathsf{I}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{4} \mathsf{F} \mathsf{C}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{5} \mathsf{H} \mathsf{M}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{6} \mathsf{P} \mathsf{V}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{7} \mathsf{H} \mathsf{B}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i} \qquad (1.1-1.7)$$

The main test is whether hypothesis H1.1-H1.7: performance expectancy (PE), effort expectancy (EE), social influence (SI), facilitating conditions (FC), hedonic motivation (HM), price value (PV) and habit (HB) have positively associated with students' behavioral intention is valid.

 $BI_{i} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} A U A P P i + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} Gender_{i} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{3} (Gender^{*} A U A P P)_{i} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$ (2)

The main test is whether hypothesis H2: the positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

$$BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i}+$$
(Gender\*PE)<sub>i</sub> +  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$ 
(2.1)

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.1: the positive relationship between performance expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid. BI = B + B = E

$$\mathsf{BI}_i = \mathbf{O}_0 + \mathbf{O}_1 P \mathcal{E}_i + \mathbf{O}_2 \mathcal{E}_i + \mathbf{O}_3 \mathcal{SI}_i + \mathbf{O}_4 \mathcal{PC}_i + \mathbf{O}_5 \mathcal{HM}_i + \mathbf{O}_6 \mathcal{PV}_i + \mathbf{O}_7 \mathcal{HB}_i + \mathcal{G}ender_i + \mathcal{$$

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.2: the positive relationship between effort expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

 $BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i$ 

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.3: the positive relationship between social influence and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

(2.3)

$$BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i}+$$

$$Gender_{i}+(Gender^{*}FC)_{i}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$$
(2.4)

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.4: the positive relationship between facilitating conditions and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

$$BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i}+Gender_{i}+(Gender^{*}HM)_{i}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$$
(2.5)

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.5: the positive relationship between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

$$BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i}+$$

$$Gender_{i}+(Gender^{*}PV)_{i}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$$
(2.6)

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.6: the positive relationship between price value and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.

$$BI_{i}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}PE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}EE_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}SI_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{4}FC_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{5}HM_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{6}PV_{i}+\boldsymbol{\theta}_{7}HB_{i}+Gender_{i$$

The main test is whether hypothesis H2.7: the positive relationship between habit and behavioral intention is moderated by gender is valid.



# CHAPTER 4 RESULTS

This chapter presents the results of a survey sample of 428 respondents who met our targeting criteria. In March 2023, data was collected. Findings were divided into descriptive statistics, inferential analysis of hypotheses, and analysis of openended questions. Using SPSS to conduct regression analysis, explore the factors that affect students' short video APP behavior intention.

## **Descriptive Statistics**

## General Demographic Description

The basic statistics of the sample are shown in Table 8:

Table	8 Demographic	Characteristics	of the	sample	(N=428)

Variable	Classification 🥢 👋	Frequency	Percentage
Conder 9	Male Male	225	52.57
Gender	Female A	203	47.43
	17-19 years old	239	55.84
Age	20-22 years old	161	37.62
	over 22 years old	28	6.54
Household	Urban	109	25.47
registration	Rural	319	74.53
	Science and engineering	106	24.77
Major	Economics and management	97	22.66
Major	Humanities	79	18.46
	Others	146	34.11
	less than 1000 yuan	152	35.51
Average monthly	1000-1500 yuan	194	45.33
consumption	1501-2000 yuan	46	10.75
	More than 2000 yuan	36	8.41
	Extrovert	71	16.59
Personality	Introvert	71	16.59
	Between the two	286	66.82
	Limited data	299	69.86
Mobile data	Unlimited data (including daily		
package	rental cards such as Tencent	129	30.14
	King Card)		

Table 8 (Continued)

Variable	Classification	Frequency	Percentage
	Less than 2 hours	55	12.85
Average daily	2-4 hours	125	29.20
mobile	More than 4-6 hours	139	32.48
entertainment time	More than 6 hours	109	25.47

Table 8 shows that the demographic characteristics of the samples and the gender distribution of the samples in this study are relatively balanced, with males accounting for 52.57% and females accounting for 47.43%. Students in higher vocational colleges were investigated, 17-19 years old accounted for 55.84%, 20-22 years old accounted for 37.62%, and over 22 years old accounted for 6.54%. Most are rural residents, accounting for 74.53%, and urban areas account for 25.47%.

By major, with majoring in science and engineering at 24.77%, economics and management at 22.66%, humanities at 18.46%, and other majors at 34.11%. According to the monthly consumption classification, 35.51% are less than 1,000 yuan, 45.33% are 1,000-1,500 yuan, 10.75% are 1,501-2,000 yuan, and 8.41% are more than 2,000 yuan. According to personality classification, extroverts and introverts accounted for 16.59%, and those in between accounted for 66.82%. According to the classification of mobile data packages, limited data accounts for 69.86%, and unlimited data accounts for 30.14%. According to the average daily mobile entertainment time, less than 2 hours accounted for 12.85%, 2-4 hours accounted for 29.20%, more than 4-6 hours accounted for 32.48%, and more than 6 hours accounted for 25.47%.

In addition, this study also carried out descriptive statistics on the usage of short video APP, see Table 9 for details.

Variable	Classification	Frequency	Percentage
You used the APP	Yes	428	100.00
You used the APP	No	0	0.00
	Tiktok	292	68.22
The short video APP you often	kauishou	131	30.61
use	Watermelon	5	1 17
	video	5	1.17
You used social video APP in	Yes	331	77.34
the last month	No	97	22.66

Table 9 Description Statistics of Variable on the usage of short video APP

# Table 9 (Continued)

Variable	Classification	Frequency	Percentage
	Less than 5 times	93	21.73
Vou often ener social short	5-10 times	95	22.20
video APP every week	More than 10-20 times	71	16.59
	More than 20 times	169	39.48
	Less than 20 minutes	76	17.75
The average time you use the	20-40 minutes	150	35.05
short video app each time	More than 40-60 minutes	87	20.33
	More than 60 minutes	115	26.87
Short video platform recharge	Yes	98	22.90
rewa <mark>r</mark> d	No	330	77.10
Consumption through	Yes	150	35.05
advertising links on short video platforms	No	278	64.95
	Watch the short video	411	96.03
	Like the short video	293	68.46
You often have the following	Comment on the short video	217	50.70
(multiple choices)	Upload short video	133	31.11
	Watch live broadcast	195	45.57
	Search short video	203	47.43

## Table 9 (Continued)

Variable	Classification	Frequency	Percentage
	The imitation is serious	266	62.15
	The content is vulgar	202	47.20
	The music is outdated	108	25.23
Problems existing in the current	Recommendatio		
short video APP (multiple	ns are not	141	32.94
choices)	allowed		
	There are many	316	73.83
	advertisements	510	15.05
	The quality of		
	the live	175	40.89
	broadcast is low		
	Others	134	31.31
	Waste of time	105	24.53
	There are vulgar content	133	31.07
The reason why you don't use	Decreased	173	40.42
the short video APP is (multiple	content quality		-
choices)	Lower	110	25.70
	practicability		
	Higher traffic	147	34.35
	cost		40.00
	Uthers	211	49.30

According to description statistics results in Table 9, 100.00% of the respondents have used app, and 0.00% have not used it. 68.22% of respondents often use Tiktok, 30.61% use Kuaishou app, and 1.17% use Watermelon video app. In the last month, 77.34% have used social video apps, and 22.66% have not. Regarding the frequency of opening social short video apps every week, 21.73% opened less than 5 times, 22.20% opened 5-10 times, 16.59% opened more than 10-20 times, and 39.48% opened more than 20 times. According to the average time of each short video app use, less than 20 minutes accounted for 17.75%, 20-40 minutes accounted for 35.05%, more than 40-60 minutes accounted for 20.33%, and more than 60 minutes accounted for 26.87%. 22.90% recharged and rewarded on the platform, and 77.10% did not recharge. Through short video platform advertising links, 35.05% of students consumed through advertising links, and 64.95% did not. Classified by behavior, 96.03% watched

short video, 68.46% liked short video, 50.70% commented on short video, 31.11% upload short video, 45.57% watched live broadcasts, and 47.43% searched for short video. According to the problems of short video APP, 62.15% think that imitation is serious, 47.20% are vulgar, 25.23% are outdated music, 32.94% are not allowed to recommend, 73.83% have too many advertisements, and 40.89% have low-quality live broadcasts, others accounted for 31.31%. According to the reasons for not using short video APP, time-wasting accounted for 24.53%, vulgar content accounted for 31.07%, content quality degradation accounted for 40.42%, low practicality accounted for 25.70%, high traffic cost accounted for 34.35%, and others accounted for 49.30%.

#### Descriptive Results of the Variables

Variables 🖌	Mean	SD	Level
Performance Expectancy (PE)	3.15	1.02	Moderate
Effort Expectancy (EE)	3.06	0.98	Moderate
Social Influence (SI)	3.31	1.01	Moderate
Facilitating Conditions (FC)	3.14	1.06	Moderate
Hedonic Motivation (HM)	3.22	1.01	Moderate
Price value (PV)	3.29	1.01	Moderate
Habit (HB)	3.25	1.07	Moderate
Behavioral Intention (BI)	3.18	1.03	Moderate

 Table 10 Summary of the average and level of all factors

Table 10 summarizes the research results of behavioral intention factors. It was found that most respondents believed that Social Influence (SI) had the greatest impact on students' behavioral intention to acceptance and use social short video app, with an average of 3.31. This is followed by Price Value (PV) with an average of 3.29 and Habit (HB) with an average of 3.25. The average of Hedonic Motivation (HM) is 3.22; the average of Behavioral Intention (BI) is 3.18; the average of Performance Expectancy (PE) is 3.15; the average of Facilitating Conditions (FC) is 3.14; the influence of Effort Expectancy factor is the lowest, with an average of 3.06. And their levels are all in moderate.

Performance			Levels			M/(SD)	Level
Expectancy	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly		
(PE)	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
Using the short video	69	51	198	50	60	2.96	Moder
APP, I can gain fans	(16.1)	(11.9)	(46.3)	(11.7)	(14.0)	(1.201)	ate
and expand my social							
network.							
Using the short video	32	39	167	111	79	3.39	High
APP, I can learn small	(7.5)	(9.1)	(39.0)	(25.9)	(18.5)	(1.114)	
life skills that I can							
us <mark>e</mark> .							
By sharing short	60	50	161	93	64	3.12	Moderate
videos to social	(14.0)	(11.7)	(37.6)	(21.7)	(15.0)	( <mark>1</mark> .217)	
accounts such as							
We <mark>Chat, I can</mark>							
str <mark>e</mark> ngthen my							
interaction with							
friends.			100	8			
Avera	ge of Leve	l		85	68	3.15	Moderate
T		25		(19.6)	(15.9)		

 
 Table 11 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Performance Expectancy (PE)

According to Table 11 the average value of Performance Expectancy (PE) is 3.15. Most students think that by using the short video APP, they can learn small life skills that they can use, with an average score of 3.39, followed by sharing short videos to social accounts such as WeChat, they can strengthen their interaction with friends, with an average score of 3.12. With the lowest average of 2.96, the samples agree that using the short video APP, they can gain fans and expand their social network. And their levels are all in moderate.

Effort			Levels			M/(SD)	Level
Expectancy	Strongly	isagree (%)	Neutral	Agree	Strongly		
(EE)	disagree		(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
I think the functional	39	44	184	91	70	3.25	Moderate
modules of the short	(9.1)	(10.3)	(43.0)	(21.3)	(16.4)	(1.128)	
video APP are easy to							
use and easy to							
operate.							
When I encounter 🥢	60	53	182	81	52	3.03	Moderate
difficulties using the	(14.0)	(12.4)	(42.5)	(18.9)	(12.1)	(1.167)	
short vide <mark>o</mark> APP, I can							
easily <mark>as</mark> k friends							
around me for advice.							
I thin <mark>k</mark> short video	67	66	187	58	50	<mark>2.90</mark>	Moderate
sho <mark>o</mark> ting is not	(15.7)	(15.4)	(43.7)	(13.6)	(11.7)	(1 <mark>.</mark> 173)	
difficult.	1000 (	RAL 2R	29	C. C. C.			
Avera	ige of Leve	el		77	57	<mark>3</mark> .06	Moderate
	199	Contraction of the second seco	2008	(18.0)	(13.3)		

Table 12 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of EffortExpectancy (EE)

According to Table 12, the average value of Effort Expectancy (EE) is 3.06. Most students think that the functional modules of the short video APP are easy to use and easy to operate, scoring an average of 3.03. When they encounter difficulties using the short video APP, they can easily ask friends around them for advice, scoring an average of 3.03. With the lowest average of 2.90, they think short video shooting is not difficult. And their level is at the moderate level.

Social Influence			Levels			M/(SD)	Level
(SI)	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly		
	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
Many people around	29	26	151	86	136	3.64	High
me are using short	(6.8)	(6.1)	(35.3)	(20.1)	(31.8)	(1.182)	
video apps.							
Someone once	74	50	178	58	68	2.99	Moderate
recommended a short	(17.3)	(11.7)	(41.6)	(13.6)	(15.9)	(1.258)	
video app to me.							
My relatives and	47	34	170	97	80	3.30	Moderate
friends often	(11.0)	(7. <mark>9</mark> )	(39.7)	(22.7)	(18.7)	(1.186)	
recommend							
inte <mark>r</mark> esting short							
videos to me.	112 3	GES. 2		C. C.			
Avera	<mark>ge of</mark> Leve	t de Ant		80	95	- 3 <mark>.</mark> 31	Moderate
	V 2 3		3	(18.7)	(22.2)		

Table 13 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of SocialInfluence (SI) of factors influencing student behavioral intention of shortVideo APP

According to Table 13, the average value of Social Influence (SI) is 3.31. Most students think that many people around them are using short video apps, scoring an average of 3.64. Their relatives and friends often recommend interesting short videos to them, scoring an average of 3.30. With the lowest average of 2.99, the samples agree that someone once recommended a short video app to them. And their level is at the moderate level, except that many people around me are using short video apps at a high level.

Facilitating			Levels			M/	Level
Conditions (FC)	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	(SD)	
	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
Convenient mobile	47	28	198	91	64	3.23	Moderate
terminals and diverse	(11.0)	(6.5)	(46.3)	(21.3)	(15.0)	(1.126)	
short video platforms							
prompt me to watch							
short videos.							
The free price will	51	44	184	84	65	3.16	Moderate
drive me to watch	(11.9)	(10.3)	(43.0)	(19.6)	(15.2)	(1.167)	
short <mark>vid</mark> eos.							
Publi <mark>c</mark> opinion	63	47	188	72	58	3.04	Moderate
guidance and	(14.7)	(11.0)	(43.9)	(16.8)	(13.6)	( <mark>1</mark> .188)	
publi <mark>ci</mark> ty prompted 🌙							
me t <mark>o</mark> watch short							
videos.	12) (8	27		C.S.S.		-	
Avera	age of Leve			82	62	<mark>3.</mark> 14	Moderate
24	1.24			(19.2)		( <mark>1</mark> .06)	

 Table 14 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Facilitating Conditions (FC)

According to Table 14, the average value of Facilitating Conditions (FC) is 3.14. Most students think that convenient mobile terminals and diverse short video platforms prompt them to watch short videos, scoring an average of 3.23. The free price will drive them to watch short videos, scoring an average of 3.16. With the lowest average of 3.04, the samples agree that public opinion guidance and publicity prompted them to watch short videos. And their level is at the moderate level.

Hedonic			Levels			M/	Level
Motivation (HM)	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	(SD)	
	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
I can often find a lot	40	26	173	104	85	3.39	High
of interesting short	(9.3)	(6.1)	(40.4)	(24.3)	(19.9)	(1.149)	
videos in the short							
video APP.							
I often immerse	62	61	197	62	46	2.93	Moderate
myself in watching	(14.5)	(14.3)	(46.0)	(14.5)	(10.7)	(1.138)	
short videos for a long							
time.							
When I encounter	41	30	173	106	78	3.35	High
interesting short	(9.6)	(7.0)	(40.4)	(24.8)	(18.2)	( <mark>1</mark> .145)	
video <mark>s</mark> , I will share							
the <mark>m with my</mark>							
relatives and friends.	12) (	84.2F	20	ASA.			
Avera	ge of Leve	el		91	70	3 <mark>.</mark> 22	Moderate
20	163			(21.3)	(16.4)	•	

 Table 15 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Hedonic Motivation (HM)

According to Table 15, the average value of Hedonic Motivation (HM) is 3.22. Most students think they can often find a lot of interesting short videos in the short video APP, scoring an average of 3.39. When they encounter interesting short videos, they will share them with their relatives and friends, scoring an average of 3.35. With the lowest average of 2.93, they agree that they often immerse themself in watching short videos for a long time. And their level is at the high level, except that they often immerse themselves in watching short videos for a long time at a moderate level.

Price value (PV)			Levels			M/	Level
	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	(SD)	
	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
I spend almost no	42	32	163	72	119	3.45	High
money using short	(9.8)	(7.5)	(38.1)	(16.8)	(27.8)	(1.243)	
videos.							
The traffic consumed	48	37	183	91	69	3.22	Moderate
by watching short	(11.2)	(8.6)	(42.8)	(21.3)	(16.1)	(1.160)	
videos is within my							
acceptable range.							
Watching short videos	50	26	206	83	63	3.19	Moderate
somewhat reduces	(11.7)	(6. <mark>1)</mark>	(48.1)	(19.4)	(14.7)	(1.130)	
my need for other							
pa <mark>i</mark> d videos.	SPE 1			El Colora	2	6	
Avera	age of Leve	el		82	84	<mark>3</mark> .29	Moderate
	(3) (	RA, 27	5-9	(19.2)	(19.6)		
	2 3 B	ER E		-			

Table 16 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Price value(PV) of factors influencing student behavioral intention of short Video APP

According to Table 16, the average value of Price value (PV) is 3.29. Most students think that they spend almost no money using short videos, scoring an average of 3.45. The traffic consumed by watching short videos is within their acceptable range, scoring an average of 3.22. With the lowest average of 3.19, watching short videos somewhat reduces their need for other paid videos. And their level is at the moderate level, except that they spend almost no money using short videos at a high level.

Strongly         Disagree         Neutral         Agree         Strongly         (SD)           disagree         (%)         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)         agree         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)         (%)         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)         (%)         (%)         (%)         agree         (%)	Habit (HB)			Levels			M/	Level
disagree       (%)       (%)       agree         (%)       (%)       (%)       agree         (%)       (%)       (%)       (%)       (%)         I open the short video       42       28       183       86       89       3.36       High         APP almost every day.       (9.8)       (6.5)       (42.8)       (20.1)       (20.8)       (1.169)         When I am free, I will       43       32       176       97       80       3.32       Moderat         unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.       1       5       183       77       66       3.09       Moderat         uninstall all the short       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         video apps on my       phone.		Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	(SD)	
(%)       (%)         I open the short video       42       28       183       86       89       3.36       High         APP almost every day.       (9.8)       (6.5)       (42.8)       (20.1)       (20.8)       (1.169)         When I am free, I will       43       32       176       97       80       3.32       Moderation         unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.       (15.0)       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         video apps on my       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         phone.       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)		disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
I open the short video       42       28       183       86       89       3.36       High         APP almost every day.       (9.8)       (6.5)       (42.8)       (20.1)       (20.8)       (1.169)         When I am free, I will       43       32       176       97       80       3.32       Moderation         unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.       It is difficult for me to       67       35       183       77       66       3.09       Moderation         video apps on my       phone.       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)		(%)				(%)		
APP almost every day.       (9.8)       (6.5)       (42.8)       (20.1)       (20.8)       (1.169)         When I am free, I will       43       32       176       97       80       3.32       Moderation         unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.       It is difficult for me to       67       35       183       77       66       3.09       Moderation         uninstall all the short       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         video apps on my       phone.       66       67       66       3.09       Moderation	I open the short video	42	28	183	86	89	3.36	High
When I am free, I will       43       32       176       97       80       3.32       Moderation         unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.       It is difficult for me to       67       35       183       77       66       3.09       Moderation         uninstall all the short       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         video apps on my       phone.       67       66       3.09       Moderation	APP almost every day.	(9.8)	(6.5)	(42.8)	(20.1)	(20.8)	(1.169)	
unconsciously open       (10.0)       (7.5)       (41.1)       (22.7)       (18.7)       (1.161)         the short video APP.         It is difficult for me to       67       35       183       77       66       3.09       Moderat         uninstall all the short       (15.7)       (8.2)       (42.8)       (18.0)       (15.4)       (1.225)         video apps on my       phone.	When I am free, I will	43	32	176	97	80	3.32	Moderate
the short video APP. It is difficult for me to 67 35 183 77 66 3.09 Moderat uninstall all the short (15.7) (8.2) (42.8) (18.0) (15.4) (1.225) video apps on my phone.	unconsciously open	(10.0)	(7.5)	(41.1)	(22.7)	(18.7)	(1.161)	
It is difficult for me to 67 35 183 77 66 3.09 Moderat uninstall all the short (15.7) (8.2) (42.8) (18.0) (15.4) (1.225) video apps on my phone.	the short video APP.							
uninstall all the short (15.7) (8.2) (42.8) (18.0) (15.4) (1.225) video apps on my phone.	It is difficult for me to	67	35	183	77	66	3.09	Moderate
video apps on my phone.	uninstall all the short	(15.7)	(8.2)	(42.8)	(18.0)	(15.4)	(1.225)	
phone.	video apps on my							
	phone.	1.6	y A	and a	¢ v			
Average of Level 87 78 3.26 Moderat	Avera	ige of Leve	ι 🔛		87	78	3.26	Moderate
(20.3) (18.2)		Shipper ,			(20.3)	(18.2)		

Table 17 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Habit (HB)

According to Table 17, the average value of Habit (HB) is 3.26. Most students think that they open the short video APP almost every day, scoring an average of 3.36. When they are free, they will unconsciously open the short video APP, scoring an average of 3.32. With the lowest average of 3.09, it is difficult for them to uninstall all the short video apps on their phones. And their level is at the moderate level, except they open the short video APP almost every day at a high level.

Behavioral			Levels			M/	Level
Intention (BI)	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	(SD)	
	disagree	(%)	(%)	(%)	agree		
	(%)				(%)		
I often feel happy and	53	30	210	79	56	3.13	Moderate
satisfied after	(12.4)	(7.0)	(49.1)	(18.5)	(13.1)	(1.122)	
watching the short							
video.							
I am willing to	39	27	194	95	73	3.32	Moderate
continue using the	(9.1)	(6.3)	(45.3)	(22.2)	(17.1)	(1.111)	
short video APP.							
I am willing to	60	35	197	75	61	3.10	Moderate
recommend my	(14.0)	(8. <mark>2</mark> )	(46.0)	(17.5)	(14.3)	(1.175)	
relative <mark>s</mark> and friends							
to use <mark>t</mark> he short video							
APP.		Ets. 2		Red			
Averag	ge of Leve	et		83	63	3 <mark>.</mark> 18	Moderate
	1 2 B			(19.4)	(14.7)	)	

 
 Table 18 Frequency, Percentage, Mean, Standard Deviation and Level of Behavioral Intention (BI)

According to Table 18, the average value of Behavioral Intention (BI) is 3.18. Most students think that they are willing to continue using the short video APP, scoring an average of 3.32. They often feel happy and satisfied after watching the short video, scoring an average of 3.13. With the lowest average of 3.10, they are willing to recommend their relatives and friends to use the short video APP. And their level is at the moderate level.

# Correlation analysis of the status quo of students' short video APP behavior intention

In this study, Performance Expectancy, Effort Expectancy, Social Influence, Facilitating Conditions, Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention were correlated, and Pearson correlation analysis were used. The results are shown in Table 19.

	formance	Effort	Social	cilitating	edonic		ب ب	
	pectancy	xpectancy	fluence	nditions	otivation	value	טור	טומר ונורבנורוסנו
Performance Expectancy	1							
Effort Expectancy	.812**	1						
Social Influence	.690**	.725**	1					
Facilitating Conditions	.670**	.704**	.814**	1				
Hedonic Motivation	.719**	.743**	.829**	.826**				
Price value	.645**	.711**	.739**	.733**	.804**	1		
Habit	.614**	.631**	.721**	.763**	**667.	14**		
Behavioral Intention	.681**	.676**	.689**	.735**	.788**	13**	3**	1
Note: *p<0.05. **p<0.01. ***	p<0.001	NERS			a 21 11 21			

Table 19 Correlation Analysis Results

It can be seen from Table 19 that Effort Expectancy is significantly and positively correlated with Effort Expectancy, Social Influence, Facilitating Conditions, Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit and Behavioral Intention; Effort Expectancy is significantly and Positively correlated with Facilitating Conditions, Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit and Behavioral Intention; Social Influence is significantly and positively correlated with Facilitating Condition, Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention; Facilitating Conditions is significantly and positively correlated with Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention; Facilitating Conditions is significantly and positively correlated with Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention; Facilitating Conditions is significantly and positively correlated with Hedonic Motivation, Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention; Facilitating and positively correlated with Price value, Habit, and Behavioral Intention; Price value is significantly and positively correlated with Habit and Behavioral Intention; Price value is significantly and positively correlated with Habit and Behavioral Intention; and Habit is significantly and positively correlated with Behavioral Intention, respectively.

To test whether there is multicollinearity in the model, this study checks the size of VIF (usually 10 is used as the judgment boundary). When VIF<10, there is no multicollinearity; when 10<=VIF<100, there is strong multicollinearity; When VIF>=100, the model has severe multicollinearity (Kutner et al., 2004). The results of multicollinearity check show in the next section.

## Hypothesis Testing

H1: Acceptance and use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	0.133	0.102		1.301	0.194	
AUAPP	0.136	0.004	0.832	30.951	0.000	1.000
	R=0.832	R <sup>2</sup> =0.692	R <sup>2</sup> adj= 0.691	F=957.992	P=0.000	

Table 20 Results of H1 regression

According to Table 20, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.832, the square of R is 0.692, and the adjusted R square is 0.691, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 69.1% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=957.992, p-value=0.000, it shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF value of 1.000 is less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between AUAPP and behavioral intention is 0.136, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.000, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that AUAPP positively predicts behavioral intention. That is: acceptance and

use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	0.144	0.097		1.483	0.139	
Performance Expectancy	/ 0.171	0.046	0.170	3.751	0.000	3.251
Effort Expectancy	0.030	0.052	0.029	0.585	0.559	3.795
Social Influence	-0.097	0.052	-0.096	-1.885	0.060	4.106
Facilitating Conditions	0.085	0.050	0.88	1.716	0.087	4.130
Hedonic Motivation	0.208	0.061	0.203	3.376	0.000	4.698
Price Value	0.157	0.047	0.155	3.364	0.000	3.368
Habit	0.392	0.044	0.406	8.9 <mark>58</mark>	0.000	3.247
R=0.857	$R^2 = 0.734 R^2$	adj= 0.730	F=165.971	P=0.00	C	

 Table 21 Results of H1.1-H1.7 regression analysis

According to Table 21, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.857, the square of R is 0.734, and the adjusted R square is 0.730, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.0% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=165.971, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF value is less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between habit and behavioral intention is 0.392, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.000, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that habit of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention, and has the greatest impact on it. Thus, this result confirms H1.7.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is 0.208, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that hedonic motivation of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention, and has an impact on it. Thus, this result confirms H1.5.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between price value and behavioral intention is 0.157, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that price value of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.6.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between performance expectancy and behavioral intention is 0.171, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.000, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that performance expectancy of short video APP has

positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.1.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is 0.030, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.559, which is higher than 0.05. Thus H1.2 is not verified.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between social influence and behavioral intention is -0.097, which is lower than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.060, which is higher than 0.05. Thus H1.3 is not verified.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between facilitating conditions and behavioral intention is 0.085, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.087, which is higher than 0.05. Thus H1.4 is not verified.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is 0.208, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that hedonic motivation of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.5.

The regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) between price value and behavioral intention is 0.157, which is higher than 0, and the p-value is equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that price value of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.6.

H2: The positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-2.666	0.134		- <mark>19.9</mark> 57	0.000	
AUAPP*gender	0.177	0.054	0.123	3.265	0.001	2.011
AUAPP	0.119	0.006	0.747	19.580	0.000	2.005
gender	-0.022	0.054	-0.011	-0.407	0.684	1.041
R=	0.837 R <sup>2</sup> =0	.700 R <sup>2</sup> adj=	= 0.698 F=3	29.575 P=(	0.000	

Table	22 Results	of H2	regression	anal	ysis
-------	------------	-------	------------	------	------

According to Table 22, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.837, the square of R is 0.700, and the adjusted R square is 0.698, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 69.8% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=329.575, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.177, and the p-value is equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, acceptance and use of short video APP on students' behavioral intention for men are higher than for women.

H2.1: The positive relationship between performance expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S. E.	Beta	т	Р	VIF
Constant	-0.005	0.037	91	-0.130	0.897	
Performance Expectancy *gender	0.166	0.051	-0.133	3.256	0.001	1.940
Performance Expectancy	0.093	0.051	0.093	1.844	0.066	4.151
Effort Expectancy	0.025	0.049	0.025	0.506	<mark>0</mark> .613	3.803
Social Influence	-0.080	0.051	-0.0 <mark>80</mark>	-1.574	୍ଡ <mark>0.</mark> 116	4.187
Facilitating Conditions	0.082	0.051	0.08 <mark>2</mark>	1.615	0. <mark>1</mark> 07	4.136
Hedonic Motivation	0.206	0.060	0.206	3.463	0. <mark>0</mark> 01	5.720
Price value	0.158	0.046	0.158	3. <mark>4</mark> 44	0. <mark>0</mark> 01	3.386
Habit	0.393	0.045	0.393	8 <mark>.722</mark>	0 <mark>.</mark> 000	3.277
gender	-0.015	0.051	-0.008	-0.295	<mark>0</mark> .768	1.064
R=0.861 R <sup>2</sup>	=0.741	$R^2adj = 0.73$	36 F=132	2.941 P=0	.000	

#### Table 23 Results of H2.1 regression analysis

According to Table 23, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.861, the square of R is 0.741, and the adjusted R square is 0.736, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.6% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=132.941, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.166, and the p-value equal to 0.001, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.1 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between performance expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, performance expectancy on students' Behavioral Intention for men is higher than for women.

H2.2: The positive relationship between effort expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta T		P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.007	0.037		-0.178	0.858	
Effort Expectancy gender	0.209	0.051	0.150	4.120	0.000	2.179
Performance Expectancy	0.194	0.045	0.194	4.328	0.000	3.309
Effort Expectancy	-0.103	0.058	-0.103	-1.786	0.613	4.464
Social Influence	-0.075	0.051	-0.075	-1.488	0.138	4.192
Facilitating Conditions	0.079	0.050	0.079	1.568	0.118	4.139
Hedonic Motivation	0.196	0.059	0.196	3.321	0.001	4.725
Price value	0.159	0.045	0.159	3.493	0.001	3.387
Habit	0.401	0.045	0.401	9.009	0.000	3.252
gender	-0.015	0.051	-0.007	-0. <mark>287</mark>	0.774	1.064
R=0.863 R <sup>2</sup>	=0.745	R <sup>2</sup> adj= 0.739	F=135.	608 P=0.0	000	

 Table
 24 Results of H2.2 regression analysis

According to Table 24, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.863, the square of R is 0.745, and the adjusted R square is 0.739, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.9% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=135.608, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.209, and the p-value is equal to 0.000, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.2 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between effort expectancy and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, effort expectancy on students' Behavioral Intention for men is higher than for women.

H2.3: The positive relationship between social influence and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.007	0.037		-0.176	0.860	
Social Influence*gender	0.124	0.052	0.084	2.399	0.017	1.980
Performance Expectancy	0.180	0.045	0.180	3.968	0.000	3.278
Effort Expectancy	0.022	0.049	0.022	0.446	0.656	3.813
Social Influence	-0.150	0.056	-0.150	-2.679	0.008	4.018
Facilitating Conditions	0.205	0.060	0.205	3.420	0.114	4.144
Hedonic Motivation	0.158	0.046	0.205	3.420	0.001	4.720
Price value	0.158	0.046	0.158	3.436	0.001	3.389
Habit	0.401	0.045	0.401	8.884	0.000	3.257
gender	-0.014	0.052	-0.007	-0.271	0.787	1.064
R=0.859 R <sup>2</sup>	=0. <b>738</b> R <sup>2</sup>	adj= 0. <mark>732</mark>	F=130	.913 P=	0.000	
	181	5 (2.5)	1442			

 Table
 25 Results of H2.3 regression analysis

According to Table 25, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.859, the square of R is 0.738, and the adjusted R square is 0.732, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.2% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=130.913, P=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.124, and the p-value is equal to 0.017, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.3 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between social influence and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, social influence on students' behavioral intentions was greater for males than for females.

H2.4: The positive relationship between facilitating conditions and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В		S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.00	)3	0.037		-0.089	0.929	
Facilitating Conditions*gender	0.11	.3	0.052	0.083	2.183	0.030	2.277
Performance Expectancy	0.17	7	0.045	0.177	3.915	0.000	3.270
Effort Expectancy	0.02	22	0.049	0.022	0.452	0.651	3.813
Social Influence	-0.08	36	0.051	-0.086	-1.680	0.094	4.180
Facilitating Conditions	0.01	.3	0.061	0.013	0.209	0.834	4.995
Hedonic Motivation	0.20	)6	0.060	0.206	3.433	0.001	4.721
Price value	0.15	58	0.046	0.158	3.432	0.001	3.390
Habit	0.40	8	0.045	0.408	9.029	0.000	3.251
gender	-0.03	13	0.052	-0.007	-0. <mark>25</mark> 7	0.797	1.064
R=0.859	R <sup>2</sup> =0.738	R <sup>2</sup> adj=	0.732	F=130.499	P=0.00	0	

 Table 26 Results of H2.4 regression analysis

According to Table 26, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.859, the square of R is 0.738, and the adjusted R square is 0.732, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.2% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=130.499, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.113, and the p-value is equal to 0.030, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.4 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between facilitating conditions and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, facilitating conditions on students' behavioral intentions was greater for males than females.

H2.5: The positive relationship between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.009	0.037		-0.232	0.817	
Hedonic Motivation*gender	0.154	0.051	0.107	2.994	0.003	2.059
Performance Expectancy	0.182	0.045	0.182	4.025	0.001	3.276
Effort Expectancy	0.016	0.049	0.016	0.323	0.747	3.829
Social Influence	-0.084	0.051	-0.084	-1.647	0.100	4.176
Facilitating Conditions	0.082	0.051	0.082	1.608	0.109	4.138
Hedonic Motivation	0.127	0.065	0.127	1.955	0.051	4.776
Price value	0.158	0.046	0.158	3.436	0.001	3.387
Habit	0.400	0.045	0.400	<mark>8.8</mark> 85	0.000	3.257
gender	-0.014	0.051	-0.007	-0.269	0.788	1.064
R=0.860 R <sup>2</sup> =0	0.740 R <sup>2</sup>	adj= 0.735	F=132.	257 P=0.	000	
	NO N	E.C. (2.5)	141			

 Table 27 Results of H2.5regression analysis

According to Table 27, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.860, the square of R is 0.740, and the adjusted R square is 0.735, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.5% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=132.257, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.154, and the p-value is equal to 0.003, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.5 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between hedonic motivation and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, hedonic motivation on students' behavioral intentions was greater for males than females.

H2.6: The positive relationship between price value and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.004	0.037		-0.109	0.913	
Price Value*gender	0.183	0.051	0.129	3.609	0.000	2.059
Performance Expectancy	0.181	0.045	0.181	4.031	0.001	3.266
Effort Expectancy	0.018	0.048	0.018	0.362	0.717	3.815
Social Influence	-0.082	0.051	-0.082	-1.618	0.106	4.175
Facilitating Conditions	0.078	0.051	0.078	1.553	0.121	4.141
Hedonic Motivation	0.194	0.059	0.194	3.268	0.001	4.731
Price Value	0.057	0.053	0.057	1.075	0.283	4.566
Habit	0.422	0.045	0.422	9.393	0.000	3.282
gender	-0.016	0.051	-0.008	-0.306	0.759	1.064
R=0.862 R <sup>2</sup>	=0.743 R <sup>2</sup>	adj= 0.737	F=133.95	57 P= <mark>0.0</mark>	000	
	1 19	E.C. (2.5)	(LAN)			

 Table 28 Results of H2.6 regression analysis

According to Table 28, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.862, the square of R is 0.743, and the adjusted R square is 0.737, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.7% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=133.957, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.183, and the p-value is equal to 0.000, which is lower than 0.05. Thus, H2.6 is verified. That is: the positive relationship between price value and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. Specifically, price value on students' behavioral intentions was greater for males than females.

H2.7: The positive relationship between habit and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

Variable	В	S.E.	Beta	Т	P-value	VIF
Constant	-0.002	0.037		-0.043	0.966	
Habit*gender	0.090	0.052	0.064	1.738	0.083	2.199
Performance Expectancy	0.171	0.045	0.171	3.766	0.000	3.252
Effort Expectancy	0.024	0.049	0.024	0.490	0.625	3.810
Social Influence	-0.093	0.051	-0.093	-1.808	0.071	4.158
Facilitating Conditions	0.087	0.051	0.087	1.701	0.090	4.131
Hedonic Motivation	0.198	0.060	0.198	3.285	0.001	4.738
Price value	0.166	0.047	0.166	<u>3.</u> 560	0.000	3.459
Habit	0.358	0.053	0.358	6.7 <mark>35</mark>	0.000	4.478
gender	-0.012	0.052	-0.006	-0.237	0.813	1.065
R=0.858 F	R <sup>2</sup> =0.736	R <sup>2</sup> adj= 0.	<mark>731 F</mark> =12	29.769 P	=0.000	
	1.19	150 02	STARA		2	

 Table 29 Results of H2.7 regression analysis

According to Table 29, the overall effect of the analysis model, R is 0.858, the square of R is 0.736, and the adjusted R square is 0.731, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.1% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=129.769, p-value=0.000. It shows that the regression equation is significant, and the VIF values are all less than 10, indicating that there is no collinearity problem among the various factors. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

The moderating effect value of coefficient ( $\beta$ ) is 0.090, and the p-value is equal to 0.083, which is higher than 0.05. Thus, H2.6 is not verified, and the effect of habit on students' behavioral intention is not significantly moderated by gender.

## Analysis of Open-end Question

This study conducted a statistical analysis on the suggestions in the questionnaire, as shown in Table 30.

Variable	Classification	Frequency	Percent %
	no comment	234	54.7
	Improve content, improve quality	41	9.6
	good	37	8.7
	less advertising	34	7.9
	Less imitation, try to be original, more innovation	23	5.4
eo APP	Post positive energy videos and increase supervision	22	5.1
ort vide	The quality of the music could be improved	5	1.2
sho	There are many inconsistencies in live sales	5	1.2
of	Traffic cost reduction	4	1
lent	It is recommended to take off the shelves	3	0.7
provem	The content of the live broadcast does not match the cover	2 <sup>2</sup> v	0.5
<u> </u>	Hope big data can be more accurate	2	0.5
or the	Do not send out the same content repeatedly for a long time	2	0.5
ns f	too many sensitive words	2	0.5
ggestio	Optimize experience and recommend useful videos	2	0.5
sne	Reduce teen use time	1	0.2
ble	Clear the cache regularly	1	0.2
Ilua	Add more funny videos	1	0.2
>	recommend	1	0.2
ut forward	Advocate more principles of safeguarding women, women's knowledge, and	1	0.2
Ъſ	Avoid attacking others online	1	0.2
		⊥ 1	0.2
	Inaccurate filter recommendations	1	0.2
	erv rich in content	⊥ 1	0.2
	Hope to protect the original author's video	1	0.2
	better		

 Table 30 Statistical results of recommendations

According to the table 30, 9.58% of the students suggested improving the content and quality, 7.94% of them wanted less advertisements, 5.37% wanted more original innovations while sending positive energy Video and increasing supervision accounted for 5.14%, and the rest were some suggestions based on their own conditions.



## CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

#### Summary

#### Demographic

The demographic characteristics of the samples and the gender distribution of the samples in this study are relatively balanced, with all respondents age between 17 and 22 years old and most of them being rural residents. By major, they are almost the same number of respondents, who were responding to the questionnaire, from each major including science and engineering, economics and management, humanities. According to the monthly consumption classification, most respondents are no more than 1,500 yuan. According to personality classification, most respondents are between extroverted and introverted. According to the classification of mobile data packages, most use limited data. According to the average daily mobile entertainment time, the majority of students use more than 2 hours.

According to description statistics results, all respondents have used APP. Most respondents often use Tiktok. Most of the respondents used social video apps in the last month. Regarding the frequency of opening social short video apps every week, most of the respondents opened more than 20 times. According to the average time of each short video app use, most students more than 20minutes. Most of them did not recharge and tip on the platform. Most students do not consume through short video platform advertising links. Classified by behavior, most students watched short videos, liked short videos, commented on short videos, downloaded short videos, watched live broadcasts, and searched for short videos. According to the problems of short videos, most students think that imitation is serious, vulgar, music is outdated, there are too many advertisements, and the quality of the live broadcast is low. According to the reasons for not using short videos, most students think that it is a waste of time, the content is vulgar, the quality of the content is reduced, the practicability is low, and the traffic cost is high, etc.

## Performance Expectancy (PE)

The Performance Expectancy in this study includes three dimensions: PE1): Using the short video APP, I can gain fans and expand my social network; PE2): Using the short video APP, I can learn small life skills that I can use; and PE3): By sharing short videos to social accounts such as WeChat, I can strengthen my interaction with friends. Among these three dimensions, PE2 received the highest mean score of 3.39 (SD=1.114), followed by PE3 with a mean score of 3.12 (SD=1.217), and the lowest mean score of 2.96 (SD=1.201) for PE1. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.15.

#### Effort Expectancy

The Effort Expectancy in this study includes three dimensions: EE1): I think the functional modules of the short video APP are easy to use and easy to operate; EE2): When I encounter difficulties using the short video APP, I can easily ask friends around me for advice; and EE3): I think short video shooting is not difficult. EE1 received the highest mean score of 3.25 (SD=1.128), followed by EE2 with a mean score of 3.03 (SD=1.167), and the lowest mean score of 2.90 (SD=1.173) for PE3. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.06.

#### Social Influence

The social influence in this study includes three dimensions: SI1): Many people around me are using short video apps; SI2): Someone once recommended a short video app to me; and SI3): My relatives and friends often recommend interesting short videos to me. SI1 received the highest mean score of 3.64 (SD=1.182), followed by SI3 with a mean score of 3.30 (SD=1.186), and the lowest mean score of 2.99 (SD=1.258) for SI2. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.31.

#### Facilitating Conditions

The facilitating conditions in this study includes three dimensions: FC1): Convenient mobile terminals and diverse short video platforms prompt me to watch short videos; FC2): The free price will drive me to watch short videos; and FC3): Public opinion guidance and publicity prompted me to watch short videos. FC1 received the highest mean score of 3.23 (SD=1.126), followed by FC2 with a mean score of 3.16 (SD=1.167), and the lowest mean score of 3.04 (SD=1.188) for FC3. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.14.

#### Hedonic Motivation

The hedonic motivation in this study includes three dimensions: HM1): I can often find a lot of interesting short videos in the short video APP; HM2): I often immerse myself in watching short videos for a long time; and HM3): When I encounter interesting short videos, I will share them with my relatives and friends. HM1 received the highest mean score of 3.39 (SD=1.149), followed by HM3 with a mean score of 3.35 (SD=1.145), and the lowest mean score of 2.93 (SD=1.138) for HM2. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.22.

#### **Price Value**

The price value in this study includes three dimensions: PV1): I spend almost no money using short videos; PV2): The traffic consumed by watching short videos is within my acceptable range; and PV3): Watching short videos somewhat reduces my need for other paid videos. PV1 received the highest mean score of 3.45 (SD=1.243), followed by PV2 with a mean score of 3.22 (SD=1.160), and the lowest mean score of 3.19 (SD=1.130) for PV3. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.29.

#### Habit

The habit in this study includes three dimensions: HB1): I open the short video APP almost every day; HB2): When I am free, I will unconsciously open the short video APP; and HB3): It is difficult for me to uninstall all the short video apps on my phone. HB1 received the highest mean score of 3.36 (SD=1.169), followed by HB2 with a mean score of 3.32 (SD=1.161), and the lowest mean score of 3.09 (SD=1.225) for HB3. From the analysis of the data collection, we conclude that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.26.

#### Behavioral Intention

The behavioral intention in this study includes three dimensions: BI1): I often feel happy and satisfied after watching the short video; BI2): I am willing to continue using the short video APP; and BI3): I am willing to recommend my relatives and friends to use the short video APP. BI2 received the highest mean score of 3.32 (SD=1.111), followed by BI1 with a mean score of 3.13 (SD=1.122), and the lowest mean score of 3.10 (SD=1.175) for BI3. From the analysis of the data collection, it can be concluded that the three dimensions of the variable received moderate agreement with a mean score of 3.18.

#### Conclusion of Hypotheses Testing

The results of the linear regression and moderating effect test show as follows: H1: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.136, p-value=0.000<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.691, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 69.1%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H1 is verified.

H1.1-H1.7: R is 0.857, the square of R is 0.734, and the adjusted R square is 0.730, which means that all independent variables of the regression model can explain 73.0% of the change of the dependent variable, and the equation fits to a good extent. The results of the F test show that F=165.971, P=0.000. It shows that the regression
equation is significant. In summary, the sample data has no correlation, and the model is better.

Considering Performance Expectancy, coefficient ( $\beta$ ) = 0.171, p-value = 0.000<0.05, which is lower than 0.05, indicating that performance expectancy of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.1.

Considering Effort Expectancy, coefficient( $\beta$ )=0.030, p-value=0.559>0.05, Thus, H1.2 is not verified.

Considering Social Influence, coefficient( $\beta$ )=-0.097, p-value=0.060>0.05. Thus, H1.3 is not verified.

Considering Facilitating Conditions, coefficient( $\beta$ )=0.085, p-value=0.087> 0.05. Thus, H1.4 is not verified.

Considering Hedonic Motivation, coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.208, p-value=0.001<0.05, indicating that hedonic motivation of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.5.

Considering Price Value, coefficient( $\beta$ )=0.157, p-value=0.001<0.05, indicating that price value of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.6.

Considering Habit, coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.392, p-value=0.000<0.05, indicating that habit of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Thus, this result confirms H1.7.

H2: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.177, p-value=0.001<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.698, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 69.8%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2 is verified.

H2.1: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.166, p-value=0.001<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.736, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.6%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.1 is verified.

H2.2: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.209, p-value=0.000<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.739, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.9%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.2 is verified.

H2.3: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.124, p-value=0.017<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.732, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.2%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.3 is verified.

H2.4: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.113, p-value=0.030<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.732, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.2%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.4 is verified.

H2.5: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.154, p-value=0.003<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.735, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.5%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.5 is verified.

H2.6: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.183, p-value=0.000<0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.737, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.7%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.6 is verified.

H2.7: Coefficient ( $\beta$ )=0.090, p-value=0.083>0.05, Adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.731, implying that the independent variables involved in this regression analysis influenced the dependent variable to a degree of 73.1%, indicating a good fit of this model. Therefore, hypothesis H2.7 is not verified.

#### Discussion

This study uses a web-based questionnaire to explore the factors influencing students' behavioral intention towards short video APP. Based on the UTAUT2 theoretical model, a model of factors influencing behavioral intention of short video APP was established, which enriches the research on factors influencing behavioral intention of short video APP. This study also confirms that the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) can be used to study the factors influencing behavioral intention of short video APPs effectively.

Hypothesis 1: Acceptance and use of short video APP has positively associated with students' behavioral intention.

According to the research results in Chapter 4, the average daily usage time by respondents for social short video apps per capita is more than one hour, which shows that social short videos have become an important form of entertainment for college students. The results of multiple regression analysis show that the acceptance and use of short video APPs are positively correlated with students' behavioral intentions. And in the multiple regression of each of the seven variables in UTAUT2, it was found that performance expectancy, hedonic motivation, price value, and habit have positively associated with students' behavioral intention. Among them, habit has the largest degree of the positive effect on student behavior Intention, and performance expectancy has the least impact on students' behavioral intention. The results of this study are similar to those of previous studies by LI KYOUNG (2014) and Li (2014).

According to the habit scale, users open the short video APP almost every day. When they are free, they will unconsciously open the short video APP. It is difficult for them to uninstall all the short video apps on their phone. This shows that habit is one of the important factors for social short video APP to attract users. In the Performance Expectancy scale in this study, users can gain fans and expand their social network by using short video APPs with only 2.96 five-point Likert scale score. This indicates that users' performance expectation has little impact on their usage behavior. It shows that the social positioning of such short video platforms has not been well reflected.

H2: The positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender.

The results of multiple regression analysis showed that the positive relationship between acceptance and use of short video APP and behavioral intention is moderated by gender. It affects men more than women. The results of this study are similar to those of Yang et al. (2010) and Zhang et al. (2018). Yang et al. (2010) found in their study that gender differences had an impact on the usage behavior of social short video APP users. Additionally, Zhang et al. (2018) tested the impact of gender differences on short video usage behavior, and divided male and female users into two groups, and statistically compare the path coefficients corresponding to the two groups of models. The results show that extroversion and entertainment significantly affect users' browsing behavior, and the impact on men is higher than that on women.

Focus on different services for gender. In terms of factors affecting whether users use social short video apps, different social attribute groups have different levels of acceptance of videos and show obvious differences, so different recommended strategies should also be adopted for different groups. For example, users of different genders have significantly different preferences in their usage behavior. Male users are more receptive to short videos and have a better experience of various functions, and platforms can offer different services to improve the usage experience in response to the different preferences of users with different social attributes.

## Limitations of the Study

Overall, the research was successfully conducted with acceptable and reasonable results. The two hypotheses are accepted and in the same direction as some previous empirical studies from the literature review (LI KYOUNG, 2014; Yang et al., 2018). However, there are a few limitations of this study that can be noticed for an improvement of future studies as follows:

1. In the process of sample sampling, random sampling was used to conduct research in Chongqing Science and Technology Vocational College, and 428 valid questionnaires were finally taken, the sample is representative to a certain extent, but in the future, it is still necessary to further expand the sample capacity and improve the sample structure in order to obtain more in-depth research results.

2. This study adopts the questionnaire survey method, and in the future, indepth interviews are yet to be conducted on this basis to make the study more indepth and targeted.

#### Directions for Future Research

1. Expanding the sampling scope in the future to cover colleges and universities in the eastern, central, and western regions of China would help research studies to gain more in-depth and richer research results.

2. In terms of research method, this study mainly adopted a questionnaire survey. In the future, in-depth interviews can be added to deeply explore the influencing factors of college students' social short video APP usage behavior, enhance the innovation of the study, and make it more meaningful.

3. Social short video APP has various uses at present such as short videos of government affairs and short videos of business, which cannot only meet the needs of college students for social entertainment, but also realize the functions of conveying government orders and commercial realizations. Thus, the practical significance of social short video APP can be explored in many aspects in the future.



### REFERENCES

- Adelien, D. & Carine, S. 2013. Employee performance management culture and system features in higher education: relationship with employee performance management satisfaction. **The International Journal of Human Resource Management**, 24(2), 352-371.
- Adnan, A., &Anila, K. 2022. Mediating role of deep and surface acting between display rules and job satisfaction among customer services representatives. **Organizacionnaâ psihologiâ (Organizational Psychology)**, 12(3), 57-69.
- Brezavšček, A., Šparl, P., & Žnidaršič, A. 2016. Factors influencing the behavioural intention to use statistical software: The perspective of the Slovenian students of social sciences. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 13(3), 953-986.
- Davis, F. D. 1986. A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new enduser information systems. Cambridge, MA, 17.
- Fishbein, M. 1979. A theory of reasoned action: some applications and implications.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. 1977. Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. Philosophy and Rhetoric, 10(2).
- Gao, C. L. 2016. Research on "Use and Satisfaction" of Mobile Short Video APP—— Taking Kuaishou APP as an Example. **News Knowledge**, (12), 3-6.
- Guo, Q. Z. 2016. Research on the Short Video Industry under the Trend. Media Review, (10), 23-26.
- Han, Y. H. & X, Y. Z. 2019. Development and application of the theory of planned behavior. **Psychological Monthly**, (07), 195-196. doi: 10.19738/j.cnki.psy.2019.07.180.
- Hennington, A., & Janz, B. D. 2007. Information systems and healthcare XVI: physician adoption of electronic medical records: applying the UTAUT model in a healthcare context. **Communications of the Association for Information Systems**, 19(1), 5.
- Huang, X. P. 2017. Analysis on the Communication of my country's Mobile Short Video Platform-Taking Miaopai as an Example. **Today's Media**, (08), 97-98.
- Kong, X. 2018. Research on users of "Tiktok" short video APP based on online ethnography survey. Master's thesis. Shandong University. https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD201802&filename= 1018116338.nh.
- Li, C. Y. 2014. Research on Influencing Factors of Mobile Video APP Users' Willingness to Use Based on UTAUT Model. Internet World, (11), 24-28.
- Lin, C. P., & Anol, B. 2008. Learning online social support: an investigation of network information technology based on UTAUT. **Cyber Psychology & behavior**, 11(3), 268-272.

- Lu Jun. 2017. Research on the Use of Microblog Short Videos by College Students. Master's Thesis. Inner Mongolia University). https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD201801&filename=1017111249.nh.
- Luo, H. Y. 2019. Research on the Use Behavior of Shared Transportation Products Based on UTAUT2 Theory. (Master's Thesis, Xidian University). https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD202001&filename =1020004927.nh.
- Ma, F. F. 2017. The life cycle of short video media and its enlightenment to the innovation of the online news industry. **China Publishing**, (16), 9-12.
- Qi, X. R. 2016. Research on the motivation and behavior of using UGC mobile short video social platform. Master's thesis. Jinan University. http://222.161.207.51:8000/rwt/CNKI/https/NNYHGLUDN3WXTLUPMW4A/KCMS/ detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD201701&filename=1016733853.nh.
- QuestMobile China Mobile Internet. 2021 **Semi-annual Report**. (2021, July 27). Retrieved February 17, 2023, from:

https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1706432788175934972&wfr=spider&for=pc

- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. 2003. User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. **MIS quarterly**, 425-478.
- Venkatesh, V.,Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. 2012.Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. **MIS Quarterly**, 157-178.
- Viswanath, V., & Fred, D. D. 2000. A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. **Management science**, 46(2), 186-204.
- Wang, C. C. & Zhang J. J. 2015. College students' social media use motivation and media dependence. **News World**, (11), 88-90.
- Wang, L. 2009. Research on Influencing Factors of 3G Service User Acceptance Based on UTAUT Model. Master's Thesis Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications. https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMED2010&filename

https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD2010&filename=2009231444.nh.

- Wang, S. Y. & Lu, Y. 2018. Research on Audience Preference of Short Video APP. Audiovisual, (02), 98-99. doi: 10.19395/j.cnki.1674-246x.2018.02.053.
- Wang, X. H. & Ren. Y. T. 2016. New features and new problems of short video production in my country. **News Front**, (17), 72-75.
- Wang, Z. Y. & Sun, Y. 2018. my country's short video development status and countermeasure analysis. **Media**, (11), 87-89.
- Wu, J. N. 2017. Why Music Social Short Video Software Becomes Popular-Taking Tiktok App as an Example. New Media Research, (18), 88-89. doi: 10.16604/j.cnki.issn2096-0360.2017.18.035.
- Xia, C. J. & Xie, T. C. 2017. Analysis of the Development Status of Mobile Short Video-Taking Xia Technology Co., Ltd. as an Example. **Today's Media**, (11), 27-28.

- Yan, Z. R. 2018. Research on the Use Behavior of "Tiktok" Interest Group -- Based on the Theory of Use and Satisfaction. Master's thesis. Wuhan University. http://222.161.207.51:8000/rwt/WANFANG/https/MRYHPZLPM3RX635EMF4GCL UDN7XT6Z5P/thesis/ChJUaGVzaXNOZXdTMjAyMzAxMTISCEowMTUyOTI4Gghlen ZvNTlsNw%3D%3D.
- Yu, D., Dong, D. H., Liu, R. M. & Yuan, Y. D. 2008. The Current Situation and Prospect of Rational Behavior Theory and Its Expanded Research. Advances in Psychological Science, (05), 796-802.
- Zhang, X. Y. & Fang Tianhong. 2019. Analysis of factors influencing the use of time management APP based on UTAUT model. **Innovative Technology**, (03), 7-14. doi: 10.19345/j.cxkj.1671-0037.2019.03.002.
- Zhang, X., Wu, Y. & Liu, C. 2019. Analysis of influencing factors on browsing and creating behavior of mobile short video users. Library and information work, (06),103-115. doi:10.13266/j.issn.0252-3116.2019.06.013.
- Zhang, Y., Xu, Y. L., Cheng, H. P. & Jin, L. 2018. Analysis of the Application Status of UTAUT Model in Domestic IT/IS Adoption Empirical Research. Modern Information, (11), 123-129.





#### Questionnaire

## Questionnaire: Factors Influencing Student behavioral Intention of Short Video APP: Case study of Chongqing Vocational College of Science and Technology

## Dear Sir / Madam:

shalom! First, thank you very much for taking time out of your busy schedule to fill out this questionnaire!

I am a graduate student majoring in Digital Economy and Management at Maejo University. I am researching the factors that affect students' use of social short video APP. The results of this survey will be used to improve the development of the short video industry.

I solemnly promise that all the data you provide is completely confidential and will never be used for commercial purposes! This questionnaire is intended for academic research purposes only, and your personal information will not appear in the report, let alone have any impact on your work. <u>Those answers have no distinguish of correct or wrong, you just need to fill in according to your actual situation!</u>

Your active cooperation and careful filling in, will be the key to the success of this study!

Best Wish to your healthy and work!

Maejo University

## Part I: Personal information



#### Part 2: Usage 9. What short video APPs have you used before? (One of the short video APPs such as Tiktok. Kuaishou and watermelon is considered to have been used) OYes ONO 10. What is the short video APP you often use? Okauishou OWatermelon video

11. Have you used short social videos in the last month:

ONO (skip to 18 questions and end)

12. How often you open social short videos every week:

- **O**0-5 times O 5-10 times
- **O**10-20 times OMore than 20 times

13. The average time you use the short video app each time:

- **O**0-20 minutes O 20-40 minutes
- Q40-60 minutes OMore than 60minutes
- 14. Have you ever recharged or tipped a short video platform: O No **O**Yes
- 15. Have you ever consumed through the advertising link of the short video platform: ONO Yes

16. Which of the following behaviors do you often have on short video apps (multiple choice):

- OWatch the short video
- Ocomment on the short video OUpload short video
- Watch live broadcast
- 17. What problems do you think exist in the current short video APP (multiple choices) (skip to question 17 and continue to answer):
  - OThe imitation is serious
  - OThe content is vulgar
  - OThe music is outdate

  - ORecommendations are not allowed
  - OThere are many advertisements
  - OThe quality of the live broadcast is low
  - Others

OYes

18. The reason why you don't use the short video APP is (multiple choices):

- OWaste of time
- ODecreased content quality
- OThere are vulgar content

OLike the short video

O Search short video

- O Lower practicability
- OHigher traffic cost
- Others

72

## Part 3: Scale

Please rate the following statements, among which 1 means strongly disagree, 2 means disagree, 3 means neutral, 4 means agree, 5 means strongly agree, just tick " $\sqrt{}$ " under the corresponding score.

variable	Problem Description	1	2	3	4	5
name						
	Using the short video APP, I can gain fans and expand my					
	social network					
Performance	Using the short video APP, I can learn small life skills that I					
Expectancy	can use					
	By sharing short videos to social accounts such as WeChat, I					
	can strengthen my interaction with friends					
	I think the functional modules of the short video APP are					
	easy to use and easy to operate					
Effort	When I encounter difficulties using the short video APP, I can					
Expectancy	easily ask friends around me for advice					
0	I think short video shooting is not difficult					
	Many people around me are using short video apps					
Soc <mark>i</mark> al	Someone once recommended a short video app to me	-				
Influence	My relatives and friends often recommend interesting short					
	videos to me					
	Convenient mobile terminals and diverse short video					
	platforms prompt me to watch short videos					
Facilitating	The free price will drive me to watch short videos					
Conditions	Public opinion guidance and publicity prompted me to watch					
	short videos					
	I can often find a lot of interesting short videos in the short					
	video APP					
Hedonic	I often immerse myself in watching short videos for a long					
Motivation	time					
	When I encounter interesting short videos, I will share them					
	with my relatives and friends					
Price value	I spend almost no money using short videos					
	The traffic consumed by watching short videos is within my					
	acceptable range					
	Watching short videos somewhat reduces my need for other					
	paid videos					
	I open the short video APP almost every day					
	When I am free, I will unconsciously open the short video					

Habit	APP			
	It is difficult for me to uninstall all the short video apps on			
	my phone/			
	I often feel happy and satisfied after watching the short			
Behavioral	video.			
Intention	I am willing to continue using the short video APP.			
	I am willing to recommend my relatives and friends to use			
	the short video APP.			

# Part 4: Suggestions and comments

Give any suggestions and comments for improvement of short video APP!



# CURRICULUM VITAE

NAME	Mrs. Lulu Liu
DATE OF BIRTH	18 March 1993
EDUCATION	2013-2017 Bachelor of Business Administration
	(Electronic Commerce), Southwest University,
	Chongqing, China
	2021-2023 Master of Business Administration
	(Digital Economics and Management Innovation),
	Maejo University, Chiang Mai, Thailand
WORK EXPERIENCE	2017-2023 Faculty, Chongqing Vocational College
	of Science and Technology
	DUPLICATE/CONFERENCE
	INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
	"International conference on Research Advancements
	in Applied Engineering Sciences, Computer and
	Communication Technology"
	13th June to 14th June 2023 Chiang Mai